


The Chief Public Health Officer's Report on
the State of Public Health in Canada 2016

A FOCUS ON

FAMILY VIOLENCE IN CANADA



Également disponible en français sous le titre:

Rapport de l'administrateur en chef de la santé publique sur l'état de la santé publique au Canada 2016 — Regard sur la violence familiale au Canada

To obtain additional information, please contact :

Public Health Agency of Canada
Address Locator 0900C2
Ottawa ON K1A 0K9
Tel.: 613-957-2991
Toll free: 1-866-225-0709
Fax: 613-941-5366
TTY: 1-800-465-7735
Email: publications@hc-sc.gc.ca

This publication can be made available
in alternative formats upon request.

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada
as represented by the Minister of Health, 2016

Publication date: October 2016

This publication may only be reproduced for personal
or internal use without permission if the source is
fully acknowledged.

Cat: HP2-IDE-PDF
ISSN: 1924-7087
Pub: 160152

A MESSAGE FROM CANADA'S CHIEF PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER

Families are the building blocks of our society and a safe haven to nurture children and our intimate relationships. Yet, some Canadians families are in crisis and the statistics are staggering. For many, this report may be difficult and disturbing to read.

In 2014, 131 Canadians died at the hands of a family member and there were 133,920 reported victims of dating or family violence, with the majority of victims being women. Just under 9 million Canadians have reported experiencing abuse before the age of 15 years.

Family violence impacts health beyond just immediate physical injury, and increases the risk for a number of conditions, including depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, as well as high blood pressure, cancer and heart disease. Despite the work of many researchers, health care professionals, organizations and communities, we still do not have a good understanding of why family violence happens, nor do we know how best to intervene.

This report sheds light on a topic that can be hard to talk about. Family violence often remains hidden. Working together, we can unravel why, when, where, how, and to whom family violence happens and improve our efforts to support healthy Canadian families.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'G. Taylor'.

Dr. Gregory Taylor
Canada's Chief Public Health Officer



TABLE OF CONTENTS

2

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

3

KEY MESSAGES

4

CHALLENGES WITH DATA ON FAMILY VIOLENCE

5

WHAT THIS REPORT IS ABOUT

9

IMPACTS ON CANADIANS

20

INFLUENCING THE RISK FOR FAMILY VIOLENCE

25

LIFE COURSE PERSPECTIVE

32

PREVENTING FAMILY VIOLENCE

36

CLOSING COMMENTS

37

REFERENCES



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many individuals and organizations have contributed to the development of *The Chief Public Health Officer's Report on the State of Public Health in Canada, 2016: A Focus on Family Violence in Canada*.

I would like to express my appreciation to the consultants who provided invaluable expert advice:

- Dr. David Mowat, Canadian Partnership Against Cancer;
- Dr. Daryl Pullman, Memorial University;
- Dr. Elizabeth Saewyc, University of British Columbia;
- Dr. Harriet MacMillan, McMaster University, the Preventing Violence Across the Lifespan Research (PreVAiL) Network;
- Dr. Jeff Reading, University of Victoria;
- Dr. John Frank, University of Edinburgh;
- Dr. Margo Greenwood, University of Northern British Columbia, National Collaborating Centre for Aboriginal Health;
- Dr. Michael Routledge, Chief Provincial Public Health Officer, Manitoba;
- Dr. Peter Donnelly, President and Chief Executive Officer of Public Health Ontario, and;
- Dr. Peter Glynn, Health Systems Consultant.

In addition, I would also like to recognize contributions made by partners and stakeholders who were consulted on the report under tight timelines, including Status of Women Canada, Health Canada, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Justice Canada, Statistics Canada, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Canadian Women's Foundation, Dr. Nadine Wathen from Western University and the PreVAiL Network, Dr. Debra Pepler from York University and the Promoting Relationship and Eliminating Violence Network (PREVNet) and Dr. Wendy Craig from Queen's University and PREVNet.

I would also like to sincerely thank the many individuals and groups within the Public Health Agency of Canada for all of their efforts related to the development of my report, including representatives from the Family Violence Prevention program and the Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention Branch: Dr. Lil Tonmyr, Jennifer Shortall, Jessica Laurin, Matthew Enticknap, Natasha Kuran, Shanna Sunley, Shannon Hurley, Sherrill MacDonald, Simone Powell, Sydney Millar, Tanya Lary, Tracey Reynolds and Dr. Wendy Hovdestad; and notably the members of my report unit and support staff: Dr. Stephanie Rees-Tregunno, Michael Halucha, Judith O'Brien, Rhonda Fraser, Meheria Arya, Fatimah Elbarrani, Crystal Stroud, Michelle MacRae and Lori Engler-Todd.



KEY MESSAGES

Family violence is an important public health issue. Its impacts on health go beyond direct physical injury, are widespread and long-lasting and can be severe, particularly for mental health. **Even less severe forms of family violence can affect health.**

Some Canadian families are experiencing unhealthy conflict, abuse and violence that have the potential to affect their health. Known collectively as family violence, it takes many forms, ranges in severity and **includes neglect as well as physical, sexual, emotional, and financial abuse.** People who experience family violence need to be supported while people who are abusive or violent need to be held accountable.

Family violence is a complex issue that can happen at any point in a lifetime. In Canada:

- An average of **172 homicides is committed every year by a family member.**
- For approximately **85,000 victims of violent crimes, the person responsible for the crime was a family member.**
- **Just under 9 million, or about one in three Canadians,** said they had experienced abuse before the age of 15 years.
- **Just under 760,000 Canadians** said they had experienced unhealthy spousal conflict, abuse or violence in the previous five years.
- More than **766,000 older Canadians** said they had experienced abuse or neglect in the previous year.

Women, children, Indigenous peoples, people with disabilities, and people who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans or questioning are at greater risk of experiencing family violence and its impacts. **Women are more likely than men to be killed by an intimate partner and more likely**

to experience sexual abuse, more severe and chronic forms of intimate partner violence, particularly forms that include threats and force to gain control. Women are also more likely to experience health impacts.

Violence against women and children is a public health issue of global importance. Global data show that one out of every three women will experience physical or sexual abuse in their lifetime. Approximately 18% of women and almost 8% of men say they have been victims of sexual abuse as children.

Family violence is complicated — no single factor can accurately predict when it will happen. Different combinations of factors at the individual, family, relationship, community and societal level affect the risk for family violence. Examples of factors include beliefs about gender and violence, and relationship characteristics such as power and control.

People are reluctant to talk about family violence, meaning it often goes unreported.

Reasons for not reporting family violence include fear and concerns about safety, stigma, and not being believed. In some cases, people believe it is a personal matter or not important enough. They may also be dependent on the person who is being abusive or violent.

Using what we know about the social determinants of health can help prevent family violence and build effective ways to address it.

Approaches to prevention include changing beliefs and attitudes, building safe and supportive communities, supporting our youth, healthy families and relationships and promoting good health and well-being.

More knowledge is needed about the **effectiveness of prevention strategies and interventions** in different situations.

Challenges with data on family violence

[Statistics Canada](#) regularly reports on family violence in Canada through the analysis of data from police reports and population surveys. These two data sources complement each other, but are not directly comparable. Information from child welfare investigations are collected through the Public Health Agency of Canada's [Canadian Incidence Study of Reported Abuse and Neglect](#).

Collecting and interpreting data on family violence can be challenging for many reasons, including:

People are reluctant to talk about family violence.¹⁻⁶

- They fear for their safety or the safety of their children.
- They depend on the family member who was abusive or violent.
- They have feelings of blame, shame or denial.
- They think that no one will believe them, that they will be blamed or judged or that they will be arrested.
- They do not want anyone to know and feel that it is a personal matter.
- They feel it was minor or not important enough. They addressed it through other means.

There are different definitions of family violence. Not all surveys use the same definition of family violence. Nor do they all measure the same types of family violence.^{7,8} Emotional abuse and neglect are the most difficult types to measure because they are hard to define and identify.^{7,9}

Family violence is difficult to measure.^{7,10-20}

- Police and child welfare data only capture incidents that come to the attention of authorities. Population surveys capture a wider range of incidents, including those that are not reported. Both are important for understanding the scope of family violence in Canada.
- Population surveys do not always measure all forms of family violence or information on how often someone is experiencing it. One piece of data can include a wide range of behaviours.
- Changes in survey data over time can reflect changes in reporting methods or in attitudes that may affect how people answer questions.
- It can be difficult to interpret rates of family violence in small populations. High rates of family violence in small populations can be due to a small number of incidents. In these cases, a small change in the number of incidents can lead to a large change in the rate.
- Data are not always divided into sub-groups. This means there can be limited information for groups at higher risk for family violence, such as Indigenous populations.
- How questions are worded in population surveys can affect the results. This means comparing across different surveys can be a problem.
- Population surveys rely on people's memory of past events. For family violence, these surveys provide reasonably good estimates. If anything, they likely underestimate the issue.



WHAT THIS REPORT IS ABOUT

This report explores why family violence is an important [public health](#) issue for Canadians.

Healthy families are the backbone of strong and productive individuals, communities and societies.²¹⁻²⁵ They come in many shapes and sizes and are safe havens that provide food, warmth, shelter, security, support, safety and love.

Family violence is an indicator of families in crisis and in need of help. In 2014, 323,643 Canadians were victims of a violent crime reported to the police. For approximately 85,000 of these victims, the person responsible for the crime was a family member.¹⁰

Only 30% of Canadians said that the police became aware of incidents where their spouse had been violent or abusive. This means that many incidents of family violence never come to the attention of the police.¹⁰

NEED HELP OR MORE INFORMATION ON FAMILY VIOLENCE?

Please see the following websites:

- The Public Health Agency of Canada's [Stop Family Violence](#) website.
- The Department of Justice's [Family Violence](#) website.
- The Status of Women's [Preventing Abuse](#) website.
- The Royal Canadian Mounted Police's [Family Violence](#) website.
- The [National Aboriginal Circle Against Family Violence](#) website.

If you or someone you know are in immediate need of help, call 911 or your local police emergency number.

WHAT IS FAMILY VIOLENCE?

For this report, **family violence includes violence, abuse, unhealthy conflict or neglect by a family member toward a family member that has the potential to lead to poor health.** In this context, family members include intimate partners. Research on [family violence](#) most often focuses on child maltreatment (also known as child abuse and neglect), intimate partner violence (also known as spousal violence, dating violence, domestic violence or abuse) and mistreatment of older adults (also known as elder abuse and neglect).

The following are common types of family violence.

Physical abuse: a physical act such as pushing, hitting, slapping, kicking, pinching, choking, stabbing, shooting, throwing objects or burning.

Sexual abuse: any type of forced sexual activity or sexual coercion at any age. Any sexual contact with a child under the age of 16 years is a crime as is sexual activity that exploits children under the age of 18 years*.

Emotional abuse: words or actions to control, frighten or destroy someone's self-respect.

Financial abuse: control or misuse of someone's money or property.

Neglect: not providing basic needs (e.g., food, adequate clothing, health care, protection from harm).

Exposure to intimate partner violence: when children are aware of intimate partner violence that is happening in their home.

* There are exceptions for non-family members who are close in age. See the [Criminal Code of Canada](#).

WHO EXPERIENCES FAMILY VIOLENCE IN CANADA?

To understand how many Canadians are at risk for poor health from family violence, we need to know how many Canadians have experienced it.

When Canadians were asked questions about family violence, abuse and conflict, data showed that:



An estimated 9 million or a third of Canadians over the age of 15 years said they had experienced abuse before the age of 15 or 16 years.^{2,26,27}



About 760,000 or 4% of Canadians over the age of 15 years said they had experienced intimate partner violence in the previous five years.¹⁰



Over 766,000 or 8% of Canadians over the age of 55 years said they had experienced abuse or neglect in the previous year.²⁷

Some Canadians are at higher risk for family violence.



Women are more likely than men to experience more severe and frequent violence from a spouse or someone they are dating.¹⁰



Indigenous women are more likely to experience family violence than non-Indigenous people.¹⁰



People with disabilities are more likely to experience violence from a spouse, especially more severe types of violence, than people without a disability.²⁸



People who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans or questioning (LGBTQ) are more likely to experience abuse or neglect during childhood, bullying and violence from a spouse or someone they are dating.^{10,29-31}

Why focus on family violence?

Family violence has widespread and long-lasting effects on health. It is more likely to affect those who are more vulnerable, marginalized or facing inequities. People who experience family violence are more likely to have:^{10,26,32-71}

- Mental health issues like depression, post-traumatic stress disorder and anxiety;
- Physical health issues like injuries as well as diseases and conditions such as cancer and arthritis, and;
- A shorter life expectancy.

Some people are at higher risk for health impacts, especially for mental health issues. Examples include women, young children, Indigenous peoples and people who experience more severe types of family violence.^{10,26,32,60,72-81}

How family violence leads to poor health is complicated. This makes it hard to know how many Canadians are in poor health due to family violence. Economic costs related to coping with poor health from family violence in Canada are significant.^{82,83} For child abuse and neglect, costs for health care, social services, and personal costs (e.g., therapy) in 1998 were estimated at almost \$4 billion per year.⁸² For spousal violence, costs for health care in 2009 were estimated at \$200 million per year. Costs related to pain, suffering and loss of life were estimated to be \$5.5 billion per year.⁸³

Addressing violence against women and children is a global priority: Global data estimate that 35% of women have experienced physical or sexual violence in their lifetime. About 23% of women and men experienced physical abuse in childhood and 18% of women and almost 8% of men said they had experienced sexual abuse in childhood.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶ Beliefs that discriminate against women and children, that support violence and that lead to power and control issues in relationships are some reasons why women and children are at high risk for experiencing violence.⁶⁹ In Canada, addressing violence against Indigenous women and girls has become a priority. In 2016, the Government of Canada launched an independent national inquiry into missing and murdered Indigenous women and girls.

Can family violence be prevented?

There is no one reason that can explain why family violence happens. What leads to family violence is a mix of individual, family, social, community and societal factors.^{e.g., 87-89} The complexity of family violence has made it difficult to develop effective ways to prevent it. Research is evolving to better identify opportunities and challenges to address family violence.

Because family violence involves individuals, families, communities and societies, everyone is responsible for stopping it. Reinforcing the [principles of public or population health](#) can play an important role. Changing beliefs and attitudes, creating safe and supportive communities, promoting healthy families and relationships and targeting populations at risk are all ways to work towards preventing family violence.

What this report covers:

This report explores how and why family violence is an important public health issue for Canadians and what can be done about it. Included in this report are the following sections:

- **Impacts on Canadians** explores the extent of family violence in Canada and its impacts on the health and well-being of Canadians.
- **Influencing the risk for family violence** examines various individual, family/social, community and societal factors that influence the risk for family violence.
- **Life course perspective** provides a snapshot of family violence over the lifespan by exploring child maltreatment, intimate partner violence and mistreatment of older adults.
- **Preventing family violence** looks at how approaches and practices are addressing family violence through [primary prevention](#).



IMPACTS ON CANADIANS

To stop family violence and its effects on health, we need to understand who is experiencing it and how it affects health. Outlined in this section is a snapshot of who in Canada is experiencing family violence, how much it is costing Canadians and how it can lead to early death and poor health.

Family violence in Canada

In 2014, police reports showed that there were over 85,000 victims of family violence in Canada.¹⁰ When dating violence is included, this number increases to 133,920 victims.¹⁰ About 96,000 of these victims were women and almost 20,000 were under the age of 20 years.¹⁰ Like other types of violent crime, family violence reported to the police has decreased across Canada over the past four years (see Figure 1).^{10,20}

Recent population survey data show that:

- An estimated 9 million or 30% of Canadians over the age of 15 years said they had experienced abuse before the age of 15 or 16 years.^{2,26,27}
- An estimated 760,000 or 4% of Canadians over the age of 15 years said they had experienced spousal conflict, abuse or violence in the previous five years.¹⁰
- An estimated 4.2 million or 14% of Canadians over the age of 15 years said they had experienced emotional abuse from a spouse or common-law partner at some point in the past.¹⁰
- An estimated 900,000 or 3% of Canadians over the age of 15 years said they had experienced financial abuse from a spouse or common-law partner at some point in the past.¹⁰

- An estimated 766,000 or 8% of Canadians over the age of 55 years said they had or neglect from a family member in the previous year.²⁷

When Canadians were asked about their experiences of conflict, abuse and violence in their current or population surveys show that rates have decreased in the provinces, but not the territories (see Figure 2). This decrease appears to be mostly due to the fact that severe spousal violence decreasing.^{4,5,10}

Why family violence is decreasing is not clear. One reason could be that younger generations are less likely to have experienced family violence than older generations. There are some data to support this idea. In the territories in 2014, 45% of Indigenous peoples between the ages of 45 to 64 years and 26% between the ages of 15 to 34 years said they had experienced abuse or neglect before the age of 15 years.³ This is not solely related to family violence and may also reflect the residential schools experience. Data from the United States show that women born between 1966 and 1975 were less likely to have experienced intimate partner violence than women born between 1946 and 1955.⁹¹

FIGURE 1:
POLICE-REPORTED FAMILY VIOLENCE, 2010 AND 2014.^{10, 20}





FIGURE 2:
SELF-REPORTED SPOUSAL VIOLENCE IN CANADA, 2004, 2009 AND 2014.^{3, 10, 90}



Notes on the data: Information was collected from Canadians ages 15 years and older and represents spousal violence experienced in the previous five years. Includes legally married, common-law, same-sex, separated and divorced spouses. Information for the territories was not available for all years. For 2009, caution is needed for comparisons of data because data were collected slightly differently in the provinces and territories.

Canadian populations and family violence

Certain populations in Canada are more likely to experience family violence, more severe types and/or more severe impacts. Examples include:


Women: For family violence that is reported to police, women are more likely than men to experience family violence at all ages (see Figure 3).¹⁰ In 2014, 57,835 women and 27,567 men were victims of police-reported family violence.¹⁰

Population surveys show that in their lifetime, women are more likely to be a victim of family violence than men.^{84,85,92} In 2010, global data estimated that 30% of women experience physical or sexual intimate partner violence at some point in their life.^{84,85} In high income countries, which included Canada, the proportion was 21%.⁸⁵ In 2012, data from two cities in Ontario and Quebec showed the following:⁹²

- 29% of women and 15% of men said they had experienced emotional abuse from a family member at least once in their lifetime.
- 15% of women and 6% of men said they had experienced physical abuse from a family member at least once in their lifetime.

Canadian data show that women are two to four times more likely than men to experience sexual abuse in childhood or in their marriage or common-law relationship.^{10,26,93} Police reports and child welfare investigations find that girls and boys are equally likely to have experienced other types of abuse.^{58,71} Population surveys show that women are more likely than men to say they experienced sexual abuse or were aware of their parents' intimate partner violence in childhood. They were less likely to say they had experienced physical abuse in childhood (see Figure 4).²⁶

FIGURE 3:
POLICE-REPORTED FAMILY VIOLENCE, 2014.¹⁰




Data from a population survey in 2014 showed that 341,502 or 4% of Canadian women and 418,163 or 4% of Canadian men said they had been a victim of unhealthy conflict, abuse or violence within their marriage or common-law partnership at least once in the previous five years.¹⁰ Women were more likely than men to experience more severe types of intimate partner violence, to experience poor health as a result of intimate partner violence and to be killed by an intimate partner.^{4,10}

Indigenous women are also more likely to experience child abuse or violence within their marriage or common-law partnership than Indigenous men. In 2014:⁷²

- 14% of Indigenous women and 5% of Indigenous men said they had experienced physical and sexual abuse in childhood.
- 10% of Indigenous women and 8% of Indigenous men said they had experienced violence committed by a spouse or common-law partner in the previous five years.

FIGURE 4:
SELF-REPORTED CHILD ABUSE OR EXPOSURE TO INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE, 2012.²⁶



Notes on the data: Information was collected from Canadians ages 18 years and older. Excludes Canadians living in the territories, Indigenous communities or institutions. Does not include full-time members of the Canadian Forces.

Indigenous populations: [Indigenous populations](#) are diverse and include First Nations, Métis and Inuit. Family violence in Indigenous communities is the result of many factors including gaps in health and social services, lack of safe places or housing, political and historical context, concerns about the justice system and violence being seen as a normal way to behave. Indigenous women who seek help often need to leave their community. This can mean that they have to leave their sources of support and culture behind.⁹⁴ Indigenous peoples may be reluctant to seek help due to the stigma and discrimination they can experience in the health care system.⁹⁵

Indigenous peoples are more likely to experience child abuse and spousal violence than non-Indigenous people. In 2014:^{10,72}


- 40% of Indigenous peoples and 29% of non-Indigenous people said they had experienced abuse before the age of 15 years.
- 9% of Indigenous peoples and 4% of non-Indigenous people said they had experienced unhealthy conflict, abuse or violence committed by a spouse or common-law partner in the previous five years.
- 10% of Indigenous women and 3% of non-Indigenous women said they had experienced unhealthy conflict, abuse or violence committed by a spouse or common-law partner in the previous five years.

- Indigenous women were also more likely to report experiencing more severe types of spousal violence and more severe impacts on health than non-Indigenous women.
- Unlike for non-Indigenous women, spousal violence for Indigenous women has not decreased over time.

People with disabilities: People who have a physical disability, health problem or mental health issue that limits their daily activity are more likely to experience spousal violence or sexual violence than people without these types of health issues (see Figure 5).^{28,111-113} This is especially true for women.¹¹⁴

Intergenerational trauma is a significant issue for some Indigenous communities. For these communities, it is often related to [residential schools](#) as well as historical and political contexts.⁹⁶⁻¹⁰⁸ Intergenerational trauma happens when a traumatic event not only affects people who experience it, but when it also affects their children and sometimes, grandchildren. For example, children of Indigenous peoples who experienced trauma from residential schools are at higher risk for depression.¹⁰⁰ Other examples of the long-term effects of the residential school experience include loss of traditional knowledge, poor community health, intergenerational stress, disparities in the social determinants of health and disruptions to ethnic and cultural identity.^{99,103,109,110}

FIGURE 5:
SELF-REPORTED SPOUSAL VIOLENCE, 2004.²⁸



Notes on the data: A person with a disability is defined as people who said they had difficulty in their daily lives or had a physical disability, health problem or mental health issue that affected their daily activities. Information was collected from Canadians ages 15 years and older and represents spousal violence experienced in the previous five years. Incidents of physical or sexual abuse happened within the previous five years while incidents of emotional or financial abuse happened at any point.

Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgendered, Queer, Questioning, Intersex and Two-spirited (LGBTQQI2S) community: Data on family violence in the LGBTQQI2S community are limited in Canada, so it is hard to know the full scope of the issue. In 2014, 8% of same-sex partners said they had experienced intimate partner violence in the previous five years compared to 4% of heterosexual partners.¹⁰ For same-sex partners, this is a decrease from 21% in 2004.¹⁰ Research shows that people who identify as LGBTQ are more likely to experience child abuse and neglect, bullying, sexual harassment from peers, dating violence and violence in a marriage or common-law relationship.^{10,29-31}

For people who identify as LGBTQ, there are several additional factors that can affect their risk for family violence:^{29-31,115-120}

- Family acceptance is a key issue for LGBTQ youth. It can influence self-esteem and social support as well as physical and mental health.
- Lesbian or bisexual women and gay or bisexual men can face challenges related to gender stereotypes. For women, it can be the belief that women are not violent. For men, it can be the belief that men are violent and do not talk about experiencing violence or abuse.

- Other factors include:
 - Stress from being part of a minority group;
 - The threat of being exposed as being LGBTQ;
 - Disclosure of HIV status if relevant;
 - Gender role conflict;
 - Social stigma;
 - Violence external to the relationship, and;
 - Lack of specific support services.


in Canada is high. It is unclear whether or not these costs have changed over time, as data are not available to make the comparison. Data from 1998 estimate that child abuse and neglect costs Canadians almost \$16 billion per year (see Figure 6).⁸² Data from 2009 estimate that spousal violence costs Canadians almost \$7.4 billion per year (see Figure 6).⁸³

For child abuse and neglect, the largest costs are related to lost earnings.⁸² For spousal violence, the largest costs are related to intangible costs. These costs include an estimate of how much pain, suffering and loss of life that is caused by spousal violence costs Canadians.⁸³

Economic costs of family violence

To date, studies on how much family violence costs Canadians have been limited. Older data suggest that the economic cost of family violence

FIGURE 6:
ESTIMATED TOTAL COSTS IN CANADA PER YEAR OF:
A) CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT IN 1998;
B) SPOUSAL VIOLENCE IN 2009.^{82, 83}



Mortality

Violence, abuse and neglect increase the risk for early death by homicide and suicide, as well as from diseases and conditions that are related to family violence.^{94,121-129} Data on suicides related to family violence, deaths by diseases and conditions related to family violence and deaths due to neglect are limited or lacking.

In 2014, there were 516 homicides in Canada. Of these, 131 or 34% of victims were killed by a family member. Like homicides in general, the number of family homicides have been decreasing, from 229 in 1985 to 131 in 2014.^{10,121} Which family member is mostly likely to be accused of a family homicide depends on the age and gender of the victim:

- For infants and children, parents are most likely to be accused of the crime.¹³⁰
- Women are more likely than men to be killed by a spouse, common-law partner or dating partner.¹⁰
- For older adults, a spouse was most likely to be accused of the crime when older women were victims of family homicide. Adult children were most likely to be accused when older men were victims.¹⁰

Impacts on physical and mental health

Family violence has widespread and long-lasting impacts on health. When thinking about these impacts, there are a few points to keep in mind:

- Because it happens early in life, child maltreatment provides the clearest example of family violence having long-lasting effects on physical and mental health.^{e.g., 59,131} Child maltreatment changes how children develop, increasing the risk for poor health later in life.¹²⁹
- Even less severe forms of violence can affect health. For example, physical punishment can negatively affect a child's health.^{34,132,133}
- Research on how intimate partner violence affects health has largely focused on women. When men's health is affected by intimate partner violence, the effects are mostly the same types of diseases and conditions as those experienced by women.^{e.g., 73,146} Women tend to

experience a wider range of and more severe impacts on their health than men.^{4,10,73,147}

- Not much research is available on how the mistreatment of older adults affects their health and well-being.

Outlined in Figure 7 is a simplified picture to show how family violence directly and indirectly affects health.

Can health impacts be 'reversed'?


The [English and Romanian Adoptees](#) studies examined neglect in a group of Romanian orphans. These orphans showed many developmental delays and difficulties bonding with caregivers. Adoption in the United Kingdom before the age of six months improved many of these delays in most children. Some children who were adopted at a later age also showed improvement.¹³⁴⁻¹⁴⁵

Health impacts on Canadians: In 2014, almost 250,000 or one out of three Canadians who had experienced spousal violence also experienced physical injuries such as bruises, cuts or broken bones. Between 129,000 and 281,000 or 17% to 37% said they were upset, confused, frustrated, angry, hurt, disappointed, depressed, fearful or shocked. About 59,000 or 32% of Canadians who experienced more severe spousal violence said they had similar effects as the symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder.¹⁰ This represents only a portion of the impacts of family violence on the health of Canadians.

Indirect impacts: It might seem incredible that family violence increases the risk for getting diseases such as cancer and arthritis and that different types of child maltreatment can all affect health in the same way.^{32,61,160} Researchers think this is happening because different types of family violence are all stressful and increase the risk for risky and unhealthy behaviours:

- **Family violence as a chronic stressor:** Family violence is stressful. Chronic stress, especially early in life, can lead to poor health.^{43,129,161-199}

FIGURE 7:
A SIMPLIFIED PICTURE OF HOW FAMILY VIOLENCE LEADS TO HEALTH IMPACTS.^{26, 32-71, 127, 128, 131, 132, 147-159}



There are many theories on why this happens. Examples of the effects of chronic stress include changes to how the immune system works and how cells in the human body divide.^{129,162,165,200-203} This might explain how experiencing family violence can increase the risk for getting diseases such as heart disease or dementia.¹²⁹

- **Family violence and risky behaviour:** Family violence can lead to risky and unhealthy behaviours such as heavy alcohol consumption, drug use, smoking, unhealthy eating and unsafe sex.^{60,204-211} These behaviours increase the risk for a wide range of diseases and conditions. Examples include sexually transmitted diseases through unsafe sex and liver disease through heavy alcohol consumption.^{60,206}

Mental health: Family violence strongly affects mental health.^{60,73,74,149,206,212} Both child maltreatment and intimate partner violence are more likely to increase the risk for depression, anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder than the risk for other diseases and conditions.^{60,73,149} Child maltreatment increases the risk for mental health issues at any age and for all types of abuse.¹³¹ It also increases the risk for problem and delinquent behaviours such as violence, aggression and other types of antisocial behaviour, particularly in boys.^{58,213-215}

Stigma: Family violence can lead to stigma and discrimination, including false ideas that victims are trapped, passive, helpless, depressed, weak or responsible for being a victim.²²⁹⁻²³³ The potential for experiencing stigma and discrimination can lead to people being reluctant to seek help.²³⁴

Other types of impacts

Family violence can affect people's relationships and lives at school and work.

Social relationships: Family violence can affect people's relationships and friendships. Child maltreatment can affect a person's ability to develop healthy relationships and increase the risk for experiencing or being responsible for intimate partner violence. This may be because it also increases the risk for problems dealing with emotions and stress as well as for poor social skills and lower self-esteem.^{151,213,217-226} People who experience child maltreatment or harsh parenting can have trouble parenting their own children, which can impact the health and well-being of these children.²²⁷ Women who experience intimate partner violence can be socially isolated. They are also more likely to have difficulties in their family and social relationships.^{149,228}

School: Child maltreatment can lead to poor academic performance and problems at school. This is likely due to the fact it affects learning, memory, problem solving, attention and emotion.^{129,213,235-241} This can lead to increased risks for financial problems and unemployment in adulthood.²⁴²

Work: In Canada, over 50% of people who experienced intimate partner violence said that this violence also occurred at or near where they worked. Women were more likely to experience this than men.^{243,244} People who are experiencing intimate partner violence may often miss or be late for work, be less productive at work, and have trouble concentrating on their work or keeping a job.²⁴⁴⁻²⁴⁸ Having a job and being financially independent can be important as it provides people with the means to end a violent or abusive relationship.²⁴⁹ Co-workers can also be affected by people experiencing intimate partner violence, most often by being stressed or concerned about the situation.²⁴⁴

Factors that affect the health impacts of family violence

Not everyone is at equal risk for poor health from family violence.²⁵⁰ Outlined below are some examples of factors that affect this risk:

Resilience: Resilience is when someone is able to cope with or recover from a negative experience or stressful situation with little effect to his or her health.^{250,251} While family violence affects the health of many people who experience it, some people are resilient.^{87,151-154,252-255} Researchers are interested in figuring out why this happens and how this could prevent family violence from leading to poor health.²⁵⁶

Genetics and epigenetics: Researchers have found that person's genetic makeup (their genotype) can increase the risk that child maltreatment will lead to depression in adulthood or problem behaviours in adolescence.²⁵⁷⁻²⁵⁹ Other genotypes are thought to reduce the risk that child abuse will affect health.^{260,261} Epigenetics may also play a role.^{129,258,262-269} Stressful experiences in childhood might affect how genes are activated and expressed, which can lead to poor health later in life.¹²⁹

What is 'epigenetics'? Epigenetics is the study of how human biology adapts to a changing environment by altering gene expression and activation. These changes can be passed on to future generations.²⁷⁰

Frequency and severity of abuse: There is evidence that the more types of abuse experienced or the more severe and frequent the abuse a child experiences, the higher the risk that child abuse will lead to poor health.^{26,50,60,73,75-77,203,271,272} Other stressful or negative events experienced early in life can add to this effect.^{78,79} A similar pattern exists for intimate partner violence. The more severe and more frequent the abuse, the more likely intimate partner violence will lead to mental health issues.⁷⁴

Understanding early adversity: Child maltreatment is not the only form of early stress or adversity that leads to impacts on health.²⁷³ The Adverse Childhood Experiences studies were important for showing the link between early adverse experiences and health impacts in adulthood.²⁷³⁻²⁷⁸

Age: Whether or not child maltreatment affects later health can depend on what age the abuse or neglect is experienced.^{148,224, 229,235,236} In some cases, the earlier the maltreatment occurs in childhood, the more likely it will lead to mental health issues.²³⁷

Gender: Women are more likely than men to experience health impacts from child abuse and intimate partner violence.^{10,26,32,73,74,80,87,279,280} In 2014, a Canadian population survey showed that in the previous five years:¹⁰

- 40% of women and 24% of men said they had experienced physical injuries as a result of spousal violence.
- 22% of women and 9% of men who experienced spousal violence said they had also experienced effects similar to the symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder.

Women are also more likely than men to be emotionally affected and to experience fear in response to intimate partner violence.^{4,10,281-283}



INFLUENCING THE RISK FOR FAMILY VIOLENCE

No single factor can accurately predict when, how or to whom family violence will occur. What leads to family violence is a mix of individual, family, social, community, and societal factors (see Figure 8).^{e.g., 44,87-89} How these factors interact is not simple.^{44,87,89} Research that aims to understand this complexity is still evolving.

This section outlines factors that influence the risk for experiencing and perpetrating family violence.

Individual factors

Examples of factors that increase the risk for family violence include:^{4,10,11,27,44,68,74,81,87,92,93,151,254,286,293-297}

- A history of child abuse or neglect
- Age
- Gender
- Traits, beliefs and behaviour
- Physical and mental health
- Substance use
- Stress

Many of the same factors increase the risk for being abusive or violent and being a victim of family violence.^{4,10,11,44,68,73,74,81,87,92,93,151,286,293-339}

Why this occurs is not clear, but it could be important for preventing family violence and its impacts. Some factors increase the risk for family violence in some people and not others. For example:

- In 2014, Indigenous peoples were more likely to be a victim of a violent crime, including family violence, than non-Indigenous people. For Indigenous women but not men, identifying as being Indigenous increased this risk. For Indigenous men, other factors such as experiencing child abuse, social disorder in

communities and neighbourhoods, being homeless, using drugs, or poor mental health increased their risk for being a victim of a violent crime.⁷²

- In the United States, certain ethnic groups have higher rates of intimate partner violence. In some cases, factors related to ethnicity (e.g., age, marital status, income) and not ethnicity itself were related to these higher rates.^{73,87}
- Problems with alcohol use such as heavy drinking, are often thought to increase the risk for family violence, but this could be because heavy drinking and family violence have many other risk factors in common.^{4,87,324,333} It may also depend on drinking context (e.g., where drinking is taking place, who is drinking).³⁴⁰

Why does family violence happen? It is important to understand why family violence happens in order to prevent it. There are many theories about family violence, but none of them can fully explain it.^{44,284-290} At this time, theories that state that family violence is a result of interactions between individual, family, social, and community factors best predict why violence happens.^{87,88,285,291,292}

FIGURE 8: MANY FACTORS CONTRIBUTE TO THE RISK FOR FAMILY VIOLENCE.

WHO WE ARE

**EVERYONE HAS DIFFERENT
BACKGROUNDS AND EXPERIENCES.**

GENETICS
GENDER
BIOLOGY



BIOLOGY
AGE
LIFE EXPERIENCES
HEALTH



HOW OUR RELATIONSHIPS FUNCTION

**EVERYONE HAS A DIFFERENT
PATTERN OF RELATIONSHIPS.**

- Relationship quality
- Resolving conflict
- Power and control
- Family and friends
- Stress

WHERE WE LIVE

EVERY COMMUNITY IS UNIQUE.

- Availability and accessibility of services
- Population characteristics
- Safety, poverty
- Beliefs and behaviour related to family violence

WHAT WE THINK AND BELIEVE

**EVERY SOCIETY HAS BELIEFS
AND ATTITUDES THAT RELATE
TO FAMILY VIOLENCE.**

- Beliefs, attitudes and behaviour related to violence and gender
- Laws and policies
- Awareness and knowledge

Three of the more commonly discussed risk factors include:

Gender and intimate partner violence: The United Nations through the [Declaration on the Elimination of Violence Against Women](#) and the [World Health Organization](#) state that violence against women is a major global public health problem and human rights violation that happens because women are women. It is an act of gender-based violence that increases the risk for harm or suffering in women who experience it. Women are more likely to experience and men are more likely to be responsible for sexual abuse and more severe or controlling intimate partner violence.^{280,328,341,342} For less severe forms of intimate partner violence, it is less clear whether or not there are gender differences.^{10,73,280,341,342} Currently, there is much discussion in the research literature about this issue.^{10,12-14,87,298,306,328,342-345}

History of child abuse or neglect: Many people who are abused or neglected as children do not experience abuse or become violent later in life. For some, there is an increased risk.^{e.g.,87,271,284-286,292,295,296,346} Why some people who experience abuse or neglect are at higher risk is not known.

What does risk mean? Research suggests that the higher the number of risk factors someone has, the greater chance for experiencing family violence.^{293,294} However, someone can have all the risk factors for family violence and never experience it. Someone else can have few or no risk factors and still experience family violence.

What about socioeconomic factors?

Family violence is often discussed in the context of poverty, low education and unemployment. Evidence on how these socioeconomic factors influence an individual's risk for family violence is conflicting or complicated.^{4,69,87,125,305,351,352} It may depend on other factors such as type of abuse, neighbourhood socioeconomic status and beliefs and attitudes on gender and violence.^{87,293,353,354}

Some research has shown that:

- Children who experience more types of abuse, or more severe abuse, are more likely to become violent later in life than children who experience fewer types or less severe abuse.^{44,271,292,347,348}
- Children who experience abuse and have access to safe and stable family relationships or develop supportive relationships in adulthood appear to be less likely to experience or be responsible for family violence later in life.^{295,349,350}

Problem behaviour in adolescence: Problem behaviours in adolescence such as being violent, criminal behaviour or anti-social behaviour are strongly related to being abusive or violent in relationships later in life. This may be because being violent is seen as a normal way to behave.⁸⁷

Family and social factors

Family and social relationships bring together people with unique backgrounds and experiences. This adds further complexity to the risk for family violence. Outlined below are examples of family and social factors that increase the risk for family violence.

Family dynamics and child maltreatment:

Examples of factors that increase the risk for child maltreatment happening in a family include:^{44,68,313,355}

- Poor parenting and parental attachment;
- Low parental warmth and responsiveness;
- Parental absence, not being available, lack of involvement;
- Family conflict, low family cohesion;
- Disputes about child custody;
- Dissatisfaction with child(ren), unrealistic expectations, a lack of understanding of the child(ren)'s needs;
- Physical punishment and harsh discipline, and;
- Intimate partner violence between parents.

Strong and stable family relationships can decrease the risk for experiencing child maltreatment or violence later in life.^{68,73,87,253,310,311,357}

Relationship dynamics, intimate partner violence and mistreatment of older adults:

How the dynamics of a relationship influences the risk for family violence is complicated and hard to study. Explanations and descriptions of what happened can differ between the person who is the victim and the person who is responsible for the abuse.^{1,7,345,358,359} Many of the same factors influence the risk for both intimate partner violence and mistreatment of older adults. Examples include:^{69,87,92,290,298,324,325,357,360}

- Stress in the relationship;
- Marital status;
- Amount of relationship conflict;
- Trust;

- Dependency, and;
- Relationship quality.

Social factors: Several factors related to friends and family can increase the risk for all forms of family violence. Examples include: ^{27,68,69,87,92,125,313,325,352,360}

- Having friends who are abusive or violent;
- Unsupportive friendships and unhealthy relationships with other family members, and;
- Being socially isolated and lacking social support.

Community and societal factors

Individuals form families and relationships that form communities and societies. Outlined below are examples of community and societal factors that have been found to affect the risk for family violence.

Cultural differences: How culture affects the risk for family violence is not clear. Some cultural factors that are thought to be related to family violence include:

- Beliefs related to gender, children, relationships and older adults.^{68,69,87,333,354,361-363}
- The mixing of cultures and cultural change.^{364,365}

Parenting can be seen as a continuum.³⁵⁶

- Healthy parenting includes some forms of discipline.
- Poor parenting begins to include some forms of irresponsible actions.
- Emotional abuse or neglect involves actions that put a child at risk or expose him or her to trauma, do not meet a child's needs or are harsh or uncaring.

Looking at national rates of family violence could help determine the role of culture, but calculating rates across different countries is challenging. Data are not collected in the same way and different definitions are used.^{84-86,351,354,367-369} This limits our ability to understand how culture affects family violence across the world. Generally, it is likely that Canada's rates of family violence are similar to global rates:

- The global rate of self-reported physical abuse in childhood was calculated to be almost 230 per 1000 children with little difference found across continents. North America's rate was about 240 per 1000 children.⁸⁶
- The global rate of self-reported intimate partner violence experienced at some point in their lifetime was 30% for women. In high income countries, which included Canada, the rate was 21%.⁸⁵

Rates of intimate partner violence against women are higher in countries where gender inequality is higher.^{354,363} Rates of intimate partner violence against men are higher in countries where there is more gender equality.³⁶³ This means that different countries likely need to consider different approaches to prevention.

Social acceptability and normalization of violence: The belief that violence is acceptable and a normal way to behave can increase the risk for child abuse and intimate partner violence.^{68,69,87} Exposure to violence can lead to it being seen as normal. Research suggests that men and women

who are abusive or violent tend to think being violent is a normal way to behave. They also tend to minimize its impacts.^{370,371} People who experience family violence can also see it as being normal or as an expression of love by their abusive partner.^{1,69,372,373}

Neighbourhoods: There are many neighbourhood characteristics that can influence the risk that a family will experience family violence. Examples of these characteristics include:^{4,68,69,87,374-379}

- Lack of services (e.g., legal, health care);
- Lack of willingness to intervene by community members;
- Lack of community connectedness, support and control of behaviour;
- Social disorder (e.g., noisy neighbour, vandalism, people using or dealing drugs, prostitution);
- Neighbourhood disadvantage (e.g., poverty);
- Instability (e.g., people moving in and out of the community);
- Exposure to or worry about violence in the neighbourhood, and;
- Having many stores in the area that sell alcohol.

What do people believe? In 2014, global data from developing countries suggested that more than half of teenage girls and boys think intimate partner violence is justified under certain conditions. In many of these countries, girls were more likely to believe this than boys. More education or higher income was linked to teenagers being less likely to hold these beliefs.³⁶⁶



LIFE COURSE PERSPECTIVE

This section highlights family violence over the life span by exploring some of the complexity related to child maltreatment, intimate partner violence and mistreatment of older adults. The life course perspective explores how experiences can accumulate and interact over the life time.^{351,380,381} It supports the idea that people can adapt and change and that there is potential to prevent, “reverse” or reduce the effects of negative and stressful experiences such as family violence.^{264,382,383}

Understanding how people and their families change and grow over a life time is important for understanding family violence. Currently, knowledge about family violence over the life course is fragmented. Research most often focuses on child maltreatment, intimate partner violence and mistreatment of older adults as separate topics. Other forms of family violence, such as teenagers abusing parents or abuse between siblings, are also common, but are less recognized and studied.^{264,382,383}

Family violence and the life course perspective: Some evidence suggests that the impacts of family violence can accumulate over the lifespan. For example, women who experienced intimate partner violence were more likely to become depressed if they had also experienced maltreatment in childhood.³⁸⁴

Child maltreatment


Police and child welfare data show that parents are most likely to be responsible for family violence involving children that is reported to the authorities (see Figure 9).¹⁰

Child abuse beyond adolescence: Many surveys on child maltreatment ask about experiences that happen before the age of 15 or 16 years.^{10,26} Abuse by parents does not always stop once children reach late adolescence or adulthood. A recent population survey showed that a small portion of Canadian seniors aged 55 years and older experience abuse from their parents.²⁷ There is a need to look beyond childhood in terms of parents maltreating their children.

Changes in families over time: Families can change over time and children can be raised in a variety of situations, some of which can increase the risk for child maltreatment. Examples include:

- Blended households (e.g., households with stepparents) with many family problems can have an increased risk for child maltreatment.³⁸⁵⁻³⁸⁷
- Negative family experiences such as divorce increased the risk that child maltreatment will lead to mental health issues.^{388,389}

FIGURE 9:
RELATIONSHIP TO THE VICTIM IN POLICE-REPORTED FAMILY VIOLENCE, 2014.¹⁰



Notes on the data: Parent — includes biological, step, adoptive and foster parents. Sibling — includes biological, step, half, adoptive, and foster brothers and sisters. Extended family — includes all family members related by blood, marriage or adoption. Spouse — includes current or former legally married and common-law spouses.

In some cases, family violence can happen after a separation or divorce. Partners who are violent can also use access to children as a form of control or punishment against the other parent. When separation or divorce removes a child from a violent environment, this can lead to the end of child maltreatment.³⁹⁰


Siblings: Siblings can be a key source of support that continues into adulthood.³⁹¹⁻³⁹⁵ Sibling abuse is often overlooked as a form of family violence. It can be seen as a normal part of sibling behaviour, even by siblings themselves.^{391,394} Like other forms of family violence, it can also affect behaviour and health.³⁹¹⁻³⁹⁵

Parent abuse: Abuse of parents by teenagers is different from other forms of family violence because parents still have to parent and often hold power (e.g., parents' salary supports the family). Parent abuse can affect health and create strain within the family.³⁹⁸ Experiencing child abuse or being aware of violence in their parents' relationships can increase the risk of teenagers being abusive towards their parents. Problem behaviour, a weak bond with parents and certain parenting practices are examples of other risk factors.³⁹⁸⁻⁴⁰⁰

Indigenous children: Indigenous populations as a whole are younger than non-Indigenous populations. Some Indigenous children are growing up in a different environment than non-Indigenous children where they can experience inequalities such as less access to health and support services, higher rates of poverty, lower life expectancy and higher rates of some diseases and conditions.³⁹⁶ These inequalities result as part of a broader context that includes marginalization, discrimination as well as social, economic, political and historical factors.^{396,397}

Healthy relationships and Canadian youth: Most Canadian youth say they have good relationships at home. Girls are less likely to report this (see Figure 10). As youth get older, relationships with parents tend to become worse (see Figure 10). Youth with high quality relationships with family, friends and a sense of connection and belonging to their schools and neighbourhood were more likely to say they were in better health than youth without these high quality relationships. Youth in Canada are less likely to report having a high quality relationship with their parent than in the past (see Figure 10).⁴⁰¹

FIGURE 10:
PROPORTION OF STUDENTS WHO SAY THEY HAVE A
HIGH QUALITY RELATIONSHIP WITH THEIR PARENTS,
2002, 2006, 2010.⁴⁰¹



Intimate partner violence

In 2014, police data showed that:¹⁰

- Women were more likely to be a victim of a violent crime committed by a family member than by someone outside their family.
- Men were more likely to be a victim of a violent crime by someone outside their family than by a family member.

Which family member is more likely to be responsible for intimate partner violence also differs for men and women (see Figure 11).¹⁰


Dating violence: In 2014, 15% of police-reported incidents of violent crime were committed by a dating partner. For almost 80% of these incidents, women were victims.¹⁰ Dating violence is a concern for teenagers as adolescence is an important time for establishing good relationship skills and patterns.⁴⁰² Risk factors for dating

violence are mostly similar to those for spousal violence. Friends and parents can play an important role in dating violence.^{87,403} For example:⁸⁷

- A strong relationship with parents can decrease a teenager’s risk for experiencing dating violence.
- Having friends who are violent can increase a teenager’s risk for being abusive or violent towards someone they are dating or for experiencing violence or abuse by someone they are dating.

Pregnancy: For many women who experience intimate partner violence, this violence stops or decreases during pregnancy. Survey data from 2006/2007 show that about 1.4% of Canadian women said they had experienced intimate partner violence during pregnancy.^{407,408} Intimate partner violence during pregnancy puts both the pregnant woman and the developing fetus at risk.

FIGURE 11: RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM IN POLICE-REPORTED FAMILY VIOLENCE INCIDENTS, 2014.¹⁰



Notes on the data: Information collected from Canadians aged 15 to 89 years. Spouse and dating partner include former and current partners.

Problems can include low birth weight, premature birth, poor prenatal care, poor maternal nutrition, inadequate weight gain, risky behaviour, and postpartum depression.⁴⁰⁹⁻⁴¹²

Bilateral violence: Bilateral violence is a controversial concept and experts do not agree about its characteristics.^{12-14,306,345,413} It occurs when both partners within a relationship are violent towards each other. Understanding this issue is challenging. Data on intimate partner violence are not always collected to reflect that relationships can be complex and dynamic.^{87,345,414} Data can also span a wide spectrum of behaviours, from unhealthy conflict (sometimes known as common couple violence) in a relationship to severe physical and psychological abuse (sometimes known as intimate terrorism).^{342,415}


Bilateral violence is more common than initially thought.⁴¹⁷ It most often occurs as unhealthy conflict and not as severe abuse or attempts to gain power or control in a relationship.^{283,309,343,344,416,417} Without question, severe, frequent and controlling intimate partner violence is more likely to be experienced by women and committed by men. It is also most often one sided.⁴¹⁷ Women are also much more likely to be affected by intimate partner violence than men, even when the type and severity of the violence experienced is the same.^{279,280}

Men and women are equally likely to experience less severe forms of intimate partner violence, such as unhealthy conflict.^{10,343,417} Evidence is mixed and controversial about whether men or women are more likely to initiate bilateral violence. It may depend on the severity and type of abuse or how questions are asked in surveys.^{12-14,298,343-345} Bilateral violence can affect health.^{343,418,419}

What about bullying? Being bullied can increase the risk for experiencing dating violence. Being a bully or being bullied can both increase the risk of being responsible for teen dating violence.^{87,404-406}

Canadian youth are more likely to report being bullied than in the past (see Figure 12).²⁴ Girls are more likely to experience bullying than boys. Boys are more likely to engage in bullying than girls.²⁴ Youth with poor family and social support are more likely to be involved in bullying.^{24,401}

FIGURE 12:
PERCENTAGE OF
STUDENTS WHO REPORT
BEING BULLIED AT LEAST
TWICE A WEEK.²⁴



Mistreatment of older adults

Police data show that 4% of victims of police-reported family violence were 65 years or older in 2014. Adults over the age of 65 years are more likely to experience family violence that has been committed by an adult child or a spouse than by other family members (see Figure 13).¹⁰


Family and social structure: Older adults can have a complicated family and social structure. For some older adults, institutional care is part of the aging process.³⁶⁰ Living with family members other than a spouse can also be a reality for some older adults. A shared living arrangement can increase the risk for abuse of older adults, particularly in terms of physical and financial abuse.^{92,125,351,352}

Caregivers: In 2012, almost 50% or 13 million Canadians over the age of 15 years said they had

at some point in their life, provided care to a family or friend with a chronic health condition, disability or age-related needs. Of those surveyed, almost all caregivers said they are coping well. The more hours spent on caregiving, the less likely they were to say they were coping well. Almost 30% found caregiving to be stressful and almost 20% said their health had suffered as a result of their responsibilities.⁴²¹

Canada's population is getting older: In 2013, 15% of the Canadian population was over the age of 65 years. By 2030, it is expected this will increase to 22%–24%.⁴²⁰ An aging population means that cases of mistreatment of older adults may also increase.³⁶⁰

FIGURE 13: RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM IN POLICE REPORTED FAMILY VIOLENCE INCIDENTS, 2014.¹⁰



Notes on the data: Information collected from Canadians aged 65 to 89 years. Adult child includes biological, step, adopted, and foster children. Spouse includes current or former legally married and common-law spouses. Siblings include biological, step, adoptive, and foster brothers or sisters. Extended family includes any family member related by blood, marriage, or adoption.



PREVENTING FAMILY VIOLENCE

Family violence is complex, so it is not surprising that there are few interventions that effectively prevent it.^{256,367,422-424} This section focuses on [primary prevention](#) by providing a snapshot of approaches that have been used to prevent family violence at the societal and community level, in families and relationships and for populations at risk.

Creating and enforcing laws and policies

How laws affect rates of family violence can be complicated and it is not clear if they prevent it from happening.^{69,425-430} Evidence from low- and middle-income countries show that laws alone do not appear to prevent family violence. Effectively enforcing these laws and creating societal attitudes that help stop family violence are also needed.⁴³¹

What is happening in Canada? Canada has a strong legal system in place that makes many forms of family violence illegal. The *Criminal Code* outlines what are [violent crimes](#). [Family violence](#) is not addressed by specific laws, but is covered by various criminal offences under the *Criminal Code*. Most forms of physical punishment are also a crime in Canada.⁴³²⁻⁴³⁴

A number of other laws and policies are relevant to family violence. For example, the *Criminal Code* also contains laws that can protect victims after the offence has taken place. Several provinces and territories have laws specifically targeting family violence and the protection of children.⁴³⁷ Mandatory reporting laws are in place in Canada, but it is not clear whether or not they are effective at helping to prevent family violence.⁴³⁶⁻⁴³⁸

Developing strategies, frameworks and initiatives

Strategies, frameworks and initiatives aim to provide examples of effective, evaluated and promising practices that programs can use to prevent family violence. It is unclear whether or not as a whole, strategies, frameworks and initiatives help prevent family violence.^{68,439}

What is happening? The [World Health Organization](#) (WHO) has identified [violence](#) as an important global issue. Its [Global status report on violence prevention 2014](#) provides an overview of all legal, policy, programmatic and other approaches being taken by participating countries. The WHO has also developed several [publications](#) related to preventing violence. Working with many partners, the WHO recently published a [resource](#) that outlines seven strategies for preventing or reducing violence against children.

In 2014, the World Health Assembly adopted a [resolution](#) on strengthening the role of health systems in addressing violence, particularly for violence against women and children. A global action plan was developed and supporting its implementation was part of a [resolution](#) at the 69th World Health Assembly in 2016.

The United Nations includes within its [sustainable development goals](#) several that address issues related to family violence. Examples include:

- Eliminating all violence against women and girls, including trafficking and sexual and other types of exploitation, and;
- Eliminating all harmful practices such as child, early and forced marriage and female genital cutting.

Examples of United Nations Conventions and Covenants related to family violence include: [Convention on the Rights of the Child](#), [Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities](#), [Universal Declaration of Human Rights](#), [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#), [International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights](#), [Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women](#) and [Convention Against Torture](#).

The Government of Canada's [Family Violence Initiative](#) is a 15 department federal initiative that began in 1988 and is led by the Public Health Agency of Canada. As part of this initiative, the Public Health Agency of Canada also hosts the [Stop Family Violence](#) website. This initiative aims to prevent family violence, promote public awareness on its risk and protective factors, work across sectors, and support data collection, research, and evaluation. Canada's provinces and territories have also developed a variety of strategies and frameworks to address family violence, a list of which can be found [here](#).

Impact of the media: The media can influence beliefs and attitudes about family violence.^{445,446} Media tend to report on more severe cases and represent them as single events. Experts are also rarely involved and cases can be sensationalized. Violence can be discussed using stereotypes, victims can be blamed and excuses provided for those who are responsible for the violence.⁴⁴⁵⁻⁴⁵³

Increasing knowledge and awareness

The goal of increasing knowledge and awareness is to change beliefs, attitudes and behaviours. This includes changing societal beliefs so that family violence is less socially acceptable and not seen as a normal part of everyday life.

What is happening? Public awareness campaigns aim to prevent family violence by raising awareness of the issue. Whether or not they are successful is not clear and difficult to measure.^{439,440} Bystander programs aim to prevent family violence by increasing awareness and encouraging bystanders to act. Some evidence suggests that bystander programs may have promise. Evaluations have shown them to be effective in increasing people's willingness to intervene, but it is not clear whether this prevents violence from happening.⁴⁴¹⁻⁴⁴⁴

For intimate partner violence, feminist activism has played a strong role in influencing policies on violence against women.⁴⁵⁴ It has also brought attention to problems with research methods, such as data collection and analyses that do not provide enough detail about gender differences.³⁴² Some promising practices exist that support boys and men in helping prevent violence against women.⁴³⁹

School-based programs exist that aim to increase knowledge and awareness in order to prevent abuse. One focus of these programs is sexual abuse. These programs work to increase children's knowledge and help them develop skills to recognize, avoid and deal with situations that may put them at risk of sexual abuse. These programs appear to have promise, but more evidence needs to be collected on their effectiveness.⁴⁵⁵ For programs that aim to prevent violence against women and sexual assault on post-secondary campuses, evaluations have not effectively focused on whether or not they prevent these types of violence.^{456,457}

Surveillance data can provide important information on rates, impacts and risk and protective factors related to family violence. Without quality data, programs are less likely to be successful in achieving their goals.⁴⁵⁸

Creating safe and supportive communities

Neighbourhoods that are united, stable and supportive and that have community members who are willing to intervene tend to have lower rates of family violence.^{87,361} There are gaps in knowledge on how addressing community factors could prevent family violence.⁴⁵⁹

Approaches that aim to address risk factors for family violence and improve community safety exist, but they have not been evaluated to see if they prevent family violence.⁴⁶⁰⁻⁴⁶²

Promoting healthy families and relationships

Supporting and building healthy relationships, particularly by improving parenting and dating skills, appears to be one of the more promising means of preventing family violence.

What is happening? There are many programs that support parents or promote positive parenting skills, but for most of them, it is not clear whether or not they prevent child maltreatment.^{426,464-468} Two examples of evaluated programs include:

- **Nurse Family Partnership:** Developed in the United States, this home-visit program aims to help young, first-time, socially and economically disadvantaged mothers. It was found to prevent child maltreatment and improve other childhood health outcomes.^{423,467} Evidence has shown it to be effective in the United States and the Netherlands over the long term, but not effective over the short term in the United Kingdom.⁴⁶⁷⁻⁴⁶⁹ A randomized control trial is currently taking place to evaluate its effectiveness in Canada.⁴⁷⁰

What about siblings? Some promising practices exist that can help reduce conflict and aggression between siblings. They may also improve relationships with friends and family.⁴⁶³

- **Triple P Parenting program:** This is a program for all parents that provides a variety of ways to promote positive parenting skills. Evidence suggests that this program can decrease child maltreatment, foster care placements and hospitalizations, reduce problem behaviour in children, decrease stress in parents and reduce harsh discipline.^{440,471-475} It has been shown to be promising in several countries, but more analyses are warranted.⁴⁷⁶⁻⁴⁷⁹

Teen dating violence prevention programs have not been found to be effective as a whole, but a few programs have shown promise.⁴⁸⁰⁻⁴⁸⁹ Two examples of evaluated programs that target students from grade 8 to the end of high school include:

- **Safe Dates program:** This school-based program covers topics such as dating violence, gender stereotyping, healthy relationships, conflict resolution, and communication skills. It was found to have no overall effect after a year, but did decrease sexual and physical abuse in teens with a history of dating violence. It did not decrease emotional abuse. The program also changed beliefs on dating violence, improved skills for conflict resolution and increased awareness of support services. It may also decrease other forms of violence.⁴⁸⁵⁻⁴⁸⁷
- **Fourth R program:** Developed in Canada, this school-based program covers topics such as dating violence, violence and sexuality, healthy relationships, and conflict resolution. Parents and schools are also provided with information. This program was found to increase knowledge on dating violence and reduce dating violence in terms of physical abuse, more so for boys than girls.⁴⁸⁸ It was also effective for at-risk youth.⁴⁸⁹

Relationship or couple therapy has been found to improve relationships and may be useful for couples at risk for intimate partner violence, although there is conflicting evidence on its effectiveness. Care needs to be taken because therapy can increase violence in some situations.^{23,490,491} Relationship education aims to prevent problems before they happen. This approach has been found to help couples adjust to becoming parents and reduce negative behaviour and less severe forms of abuse in some cases.^{23,490-496}

Targeting at-risk populations

Approaches for preventing family violence that target at-risk populations such as women, children and youth, older adults, Indigenous communities, the LGBTQQI2S community or people with disabilities are few, not evaluated, need more study or evidence on their effectiveness is conflicting.^{115,125,351, 360,423,424,439,469,497-503} For some populations, priorities for action have been identified.

- The health care system has been identified as a key point for better identifying families at risk for family violence. Some promising programs exist. For example, in the United States, the [Safe Environment for Every Kid](#) (SEEK) model has been found to prevent or reduce child maltreatment for high risk families, but less so for low risk families. The SEEK model involves providing on-going training to health care professionals on parental risk factors that affect children's health.⁵¹⁷⁻⁵¹⁹
- **Women:** There is a lack of evaluated approaches for all types of intimate partner violence (e.g., perpetrated by men or women, bilateral violence).^{424,439} Preventing violence against women is an important priority and needs a targeted approach due to the fact that women are more likely to experience severe abuse and violence.³⁴²

- **Child and youth:** The World Health Organization's [INSPIRE: Seven strategies for ending violence against children](#) outlines seven strategies for preventing or reducing violence against children. These strategies include effective, promising or prudent approaches in the areas of laws, norms and values, safe environments, parent and caregiver support, income and economic strengthening, response and support services, and education and life skills.
- **Indigenous communities:** Communities and experts have noted that culturally relevant interventions that are developed by or with communities are important and needed.⁴⁹⁸ Addressing other risk factors such as the availability of and access to services, safe and adequate housing, concerns about the justice system, impacts of colonization, the legacy of residential schools and intergenerational trauma may be effective. Many of these issues were highlighted as part of the [calls to action](#) of the [Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada](#).

What about targeting risk and protective factors? Programs exist that show promise by targeting factors that increase the risk for family violence, but it is not clear if they are effective at preventing it.^{e.g.,69,504-516} There is little research on how approaches to prevention can target protective factors.^{255,256}



CLOSING COMMENTS

Family violence in Canada is cause for concern, especially for those who are most vulnerable — women, girls and Indigenous women, but there are reasons to be optimistic for the future.

Family violence over the life course is a relatively new field of research. Since the 1970s, the body of evidence has been growing. Even though we don't yet have a clear sense of why, statistics tell us that severe forms of family violence are decreasing in Canada, as is violent crime. Evidence also suggests that it is possible to prevent, reverse or reduce the impacts of family violence and that some people are resilient to its effects.

This report has raised more questions than it has answered. Why is violence aimed at fellow family members, including children and intimate partners? How can we challenge our assumptions to build new approaches for prevention? Why are certain people more likely to experience family violence? Why are some people resilient to its effects? Why do most people who experience child maltreatment not become violent later in life?

Clearly we need a better understanding of the causes of family violence and how best to help those families in crisis and prevent the violence from happening in the first place. Our understanding is evolving as families and relationships change. Younger generations are growing up in a very different world.

Talking about family violence can be painful. However, it is the only way that we can become a society that accepts nothing less than safe and healthy families for everyone.



REFERENCES

Challenges with data on family violence

1. Chan, K. L. (2011). Gender differences in self-reports of intimate partner violence: a review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 16*(2), 167-175.
2. Perreault, S. (2015). Criminal victimization in Canada, 2014. (Statistics Canada).
3. Perreault, S. & Simpson, L. (2016). Criminal victimization in the territories, 2014. (Statistics Canada).
4. Sinha, M. (2013). Measuring violence against women: statistical trends. (Statistics Canada).
5. Sinha, M. (2015). Trends in reporting criminal victimization to police, 1999 to 2009. (Statistics Canada).
6. Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics. (2000). Family violence in Canada: a statistical profile, 2000. (Statistics Canada).
7. Follingstad, D. R. & Rogers, M. J. (2013). Validity concerns in the measurement of women's and men's report of intimate partner violence. *Sex Roles, 69*(3), 149-167.
8. Hovdestad, W., Campeau, A., Potter, D. & Tonmyr, L. (2015). A systematic review of childhood maltreatment assessments in population-representative surveys since 1990. *PLoS One, 10*(5), e0123366.
9. Hobbs, C. J. & Wynne, J. M. (2001). Neglect of neglect. *Current Paediatrics, 12*(2), 144-150.
10. Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics. (2016). Family violence in Canada: a statistical profile, 2014. (Statistics Canada).
11. Public Health Agency of Canada. (2010). Canadian Incidence Study (CIS) of reported child abuse and neglect - 2008: major findings. (Public Health Agency of Canada).
12. Winstok, Z. (2015). Critical review of Hamby's 2014 article titled Intimate partner and sexual violence research, scientific progress, scientific challenges, and gender. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse.*
13. Hamby, S. (2015). A scientific answer to a scientific question: the gender debate on intimate partner violence. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse.*
14. Hamby, S. (2014). Intimate partner and sexual violence research: scientific progress, scientific challenges, and gender. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse, 15*(3), 149-158.
15. McKinney, C. M., Harris, T. R. & Caetano, R. (2009). Reliability of self-reported childhood physical abuse by adults and factors predictive of inconsistent reporting. *Violence and Victims, 24*(5), 653-668.
16. Shields, M., Hovdestad, W. & Tonmyr, L. (2015). Assessment of the quality of the childhood physical abuse measure in the National Population Health Survey. (Statistics Canada).
17. Havari, E. & Mazzonna, F. (2015). Can we trust older people's statements on their childhood circumstances? Evidence from SHARELIFE. *European Journal of Population, 31*(3), 233-257.
18. Hardt, J., Sidor, A., Bracko, M. & Egle, U. T. (2006). Reliability of retrospective assessments of childhood experiences in Germany. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 194*(9).
19. Hardt, J. & Rutter, M. (2004). Validity of adult retrospective reports of adverse childhood experiences: review of the evidence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 45*(2), 260-273.
20. Devries, K. M., Mak, J. Y., Bacchus, L. J., Child, J. C., Falder, G., Petzold, M., Astbury, J. & Watts, C. H. (2013). Intimate partner violence and incident depressive symptoms and suicide attempts: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. *PLoS Medicine, 10*(5), e1001439.
21. Barr, A. B., Sutton, T. E., Simons, L. G., Wickrama, K. A. & Lorenz, F. O. (2016). Romantic relationship transitions and changes in health among rural, white young adults. *Journal of Family Psychology.*

What this report is about

22. Barr, A. B., Culatta, E. & Simons, R. L. (2013). Romantic relationships and health among African American young adults: linking patterns of relationship quality over time to changes in physical and mental health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 54(3), 369-385.
23. Halford, W. K. & Snyder, D. K. (2012). Universal processes and common factors in couple therapy and relationship education. *Behavior Therapy*, 43(1), 1-12.
24. Freeman, J., King, M. & Pickett, W. (2016). Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) in Canada – focus on relationships. (Public Health Agency of Canada).
25. Philips, N., Sioen, I., Michels, N., Sleddens, E. & De Henauw, S. (2014). The influence of parenting style on health related behavior of children: findings from the ChiBS study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 11(1), 1-14.
26. Afifi, T. O., MacMillan, H. L., Boyle, M., Taillieu, T., Cheung, K. & Sareen, J. (2014). Child abuse and mental disorders in Canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 186(9), E324-E332.
27. McDonald, L., Beaulieu, M., Goergen, T., Lowenstein, A., Thomas, C., Lombardo, A., Bergeron-Plateaued, J. & Kay, T. (2016). Into the light: national survey on the mistreatment of older Canadians 2015. (National Initiative for the Care of the Elderly).
28. Perreault, S. (2009). Criminal victimization and health: a profile of victimization among persons with activity limitations or other health problems. (Statistics Canada).
29. Saewyc, E., Poon, C., Wang, N., Homma, Y., Smith, A. & Liebel, A. (2007). Not yet equal – the health of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth in BC. (McCreary Centre Society).
30. Schneeberger, A. R., Dietl, M. F., Muenzenmaier, K. H., Huber, C. G. & Lang, U. E. (2014). Stressful childhood experiences and health outcomes in sexual minority populations: a systematic review. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 49(9), 1427-1445.
31. Williams, T., Connolly, J., Pepler, D. & Craig, W. (2003). Questioning and sexual minority adolescents: high school experiences of bullying, sexual harassment and physical abuse. *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health*, 22(2), 47-58.
32. Afifi, T. O., MacMillan, H. L., Boyle, M., Cheung, K., Taillieu, T., Turner, S. & Sareen, J. (2016). Child abuse and physical health in adulthood. *Health Reports*, 27(3), 10-8.
33. Afifi, T. O., Enns, M. W., Cox, B. J., Asmundson, G. J., Stein, M. B. & Sareen, J. (2008). Population attributable fractions of psychiatric disorders and suicide ideation and attempts associated with adverse childhood experiences. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(5), 946-952.
34. Afifi, T. O., Brownridge, D. A., Cox, B. J. & Sareen, J. (2006). Physical punishment, childhood abuse and psychiatric disorders. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 30(10), 1093-1103.
35. Black, M. C. (2011). Intimate partner violence and adverse health consequences: implications for clinicians. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*, 5(5), 428-439.
36. Bonomi, A. E., Anderson, M. L., Rivara, F. P. & Thompson, R. S. (2007). Health outcomes in women with physical and sexual intimate partner violence exposure. *Journal of Women's Health*, 16(7), 987-997.
37. Carr, C. P., Martins, C. M., Stingel, A. M., Lemgruber, V. B. & Jurueña, M. F. (2013). The role of early life stress in adult psychiatric disorders: a systematic review according to childhood trauma subtypes. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 201(12), 1007-1020.
38. Cisler, J. M., Begle, A. M. & Amstadter, A. B. (2012). Mistreatment and self-reported emotional symptoms: results from the National Elder Mistreatment Study. *Journal of Elder Abuse & Neglect*, 24(3), 216-230.
39. Comijs, H. C., Penninx, B. W., Knipscheer, K. P. & van Tilburg, W. (1999). Psychological distress in victims of elder mistreatment: the effects of social support and coping. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 54(4), P240-P245.
40. Dillon, G., Hussain, R., Loxton, D. & Rahman, S. (2013). Mental and Physical Health and Intimate Partner Violence against Women: A Review of the Literature. *International Journal of Family Medicine*.
41. Dong, X., Chen, R., Chang, E. S. & Simon, M. (2013). Elder abuse and psychological well-being: a systematic review and implications for research and policy—a mini review. *Gerontology*, 59(2), 132-142.

42. Dong, X. (2005). Medical implications of elder abuse and neglect. *Clinics in Geriatric Medicine*, 21(2), 293-313.
43. Dutton, M. A., Green, B. L., Kaltman, S. I., Roesch, D. M., Zeffiro, T. A. & Krause, E. D. (2006). Intimate partner violence, PTSD, and adverse health outcomes. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 21(7), 955-968.
44. Etherington, N. & Baker, L. (2016). From “buzz-word” to best practice: applying intersectionality to children exposed to intimate partner violence. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*.
45. Exley, D., Morman, A. & Hyland, M. (2015). Adverse childhood experience and asthma onset: a systematic review. *European Respiratory Review*, 24(136), 299-305.
46. Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P. & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 14(4), 245-258.
47. Fisher, B. S. & Regan, S. L. (2006). The extent and frequency of abuse in the lives of older women and their relationship with health outcomes. *The Gerontologist*, 46(2), 200-209.
48. Fulmer, T., Rodgers, R. F. & Pelger, A. (2014). Verbal mistreatment of the elderly. *Journal of Elder Abuse & Neglect*, 26(4), 351-364.
49. Gonzalez, A., Boyle, M. H., Kyu, H. H., Georgiades, K., Duncan, L. & MacMillan, H. L. (2012). Childhood and family influences on depression, chronic physical conditions, and their comorbidity: findings from the Ontario Child Health Study. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 46(11), 1475-1482.
50. Greenfield, E. A. (2010). Child abuse as a life-course social determinant of adult health. *Maturitas*, 66(1), 51-55.
51. Hemmingsson, E., Johansson, K. & Reynisdottir, S. (2014). Effects of childhood abuse on adult obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews*, 15(11), 882-893.
52. Herrenkohl, T. I., Hong, S., Klika, J. B., Herrenkohl, R. C. & Russo, M. J. (2013). Developmental impacts of child abuse and neglect related to adult mental health, substance use, and physical health. *Journal of Family Violence*, 28(2).
53. Kessler, R. C., McLaughlin, K. A., Green, J. G., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A. M., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alhamzawi, A. O., Alonso, J., Angermeyer, M., Benjet, C., Bromet, E., Chatterji, S., de Girolamo, G., Demyttenaere, K., Fayyad, J., Florescu, S., Gal, G., Gureje, O., Haro, J. M., Hu, C., Karam, E. G., Kawakami, N., Lee, S., Lépine, J. P., Ormel, J., Posada-Villa, J., Sagar, R., Tsang, A., Üstün, T. B., Assilev, S., Viana, M. C. & Williams, D. R. (2010). Childhood adversities and adult psychopathology in the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 197(5), 378-385.
54. Kwako, L. E., Glass, N., Campbell, J., Melvin, K. C., Barr, T. & Gill, J. M. (2011). Traumatic brain injury in intimate partner violence: a critical review of outcomes and mechanisms. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 12(3), 115-126.
55. Lang, C. M. & Sharma-Patel, K. (2011). The relation between childhood maltreatment and self-injury: a review of the literature on conceptualization and intervention. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 12(1), 23-37.
56. MacMillan, H. L., Fleming, J. E., Streiner, D. L., Lin, E., Boyle, M. H., Jamieson, E., Duku, E. K., Walsh, C. A., Wong, M. Y. & Beardslee, W. R. (2001). Childhood abuse and lifetime psychopathology in a community sample. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(11), 1878-1883.
57. Mason, R. & O'Rinn, S. E. (2014). Co-occurring intimate partner violence, mental health, and substance use problems: a scoping review. *Global Health Action*, 7.
58. Moylan, C. A., Herrenkohl, T. I., Sousa, C., Tajima, E. A., Herrenkohl, R. C. & Russo, M. J. (2010). The effects of child abuse and exposure to domestic violence on adolescent internalizing and externalizing behavior problems. *Journal of Family Violence*, 25(1), 53-63.
59. Murphy, K., Waa, S., Jaffer, H., Sauter, A. & Chan, A. (2013). A literature review of findings in physical elder abuse. *Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal*, 64(1), 10-14.
60. Norman, R. E., Byambaa, M., De, R., Butchart, A., Scott, J. & Vos, T. (2012). The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Medicine*, 9(11), e1001349.
61. Plichta, S. B. (2004). Intimate partner violence and physical health consequences. Policy and practice implications. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 19(11), 1296-1323.

62. Sarkar, N. N. (2008). The impact of intimate partner violence on women's reproductive health and pregnancy outcome. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 28(3), 266-271.
63. Schofield, M. J. & Mishra, G. D. (2004). Three year health outcomes among older women at risk of elder abuse: women's health Australia. *Quality of Life Research*, 13(6), 1043-1052.
64. Schofield, M. J., Powers, J. R. & Loxton, D. (2013). Mortality and disability outcomes of self-reported elder abuse: a 12-year prospective investigation. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 61(5), 679-685.
65. Scott, K. M., Smith, D. R. & Ellis, P. M. (2010). Prospectively ascertained child maltreatment and its association with DSM-IV mental disorders in young adults. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 67(7), 712-719.
66. Vaughn, M. G., Salas-Wright, C. P., DeLisi, M. & Larson, M. (2015). Deliberate self-harm and the nexus of violence, victimization, and mental health problems in the United States. *Psychiatry Research*, 225(3), 588-595.
67. Widom, C. S. (2014). Long-term consequences of child maltreatment. In *Handbook of Child Maltreatment*, (pp. 225-247). [Korbin, J. E. & Krugman, R. D. (Eds.)]. (Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media).
68. Butchart, A., Phinney Harvey, A., Mian, M., Furniss, T. (2006). Preventing child maltreatment. A guide to taking action and generating evidence. (World Health Organization).
69. World Health Organization & London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. (2010). Preventing intimate partner and sexual violence against women: taking action and generating evidence. (World Health Organization).
70. Wong, J. Y., Fong, D. Y., Lai, V. & Tiwari, A. (2014). Bridging intimate partner violence and the human brain: a literature review. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 15(1), 22-33.
71. Wong, J. & Mellor, D. (2014). Intimate partner violence and women's health and wellbeing: impacts, risk factors and responses. *Contemporary Nurse*, 46(2), 170-179.
72. Boyce, J. (2016). Victimization of Aboriginal people in Canada, 2014. (Statistics Canada).
73. Coker, A. L., Davis, K. E., Arias, I., Desai, S., Sanderson, M., Brandt, H. M. & Smith, P. H. (2002). Physical and mental health effects of intimate partner violence for men and women. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 23(4), 260-268.
74. Lagdon, S., Armour, C. & Stringer, M. (2014). Adult experience of mental health outcomes as a result of intimate partner violence victimisation: a systematic review. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 5, 10.
75. Cowell, R. A., Cicchetti, D., Rogosch, F. A. & Toth, S. L. (2015). Childhood maltreatment and its effect on neurocognitive functioning: timing and chronicity matter. *Development and Psychopathology*, 27(Special Issue 02), 521-533.
76. Jaffee, S. R. & Maikovich-Fong, A. K. (2011). Effects of chronic maltreatment and maltreatment timing on children's behavior and cognitive abilities. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 52(2), 184-194.
77. Thornberry, T. P., Ireland, T. O. & Smith, C. A. (2001). The importance of timing: the varying impact of childhood and adolescent maltreatment on multiple problem outcomes. *Development and Psychopathology*, 13(4), 957-979.
78. Davies, E. A. & Jones, A. C. (2013). Risk factors in child sexual abuse. *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine*, 20(3), 146-150.
79. Scott-Storey, K. (2011). Cumulative abuse: do things add up? An evaluation of the conceptualization, operationalization, and methodological approaches in the study of the phenomenon of cumulative abuse. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 12(3), 135-150.
80. Chartier, M. J., Walker, J. R. & Naimark, B. (2007). Childhood abuse, adult health, and health care utilization: results from a representative community sample. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 165(9), 1031-1038.
81. Ehrensaft, M. K., Knous-Westfall, H. M., Cohen, P. & Chen, H. (2014). How does child abuse history influence parenting of the next generation? *Psychology of Violence*, 5(1), 16-25.
82. Bowlus, A., McKenna, K., Day, T. & Wright, D. (2003). The economic costs and consequences of child abuse in Canada. (Law Commission of Canada).
83. Zhang, T., Hoddenbagh, J., McDonald, S. & Scrim, K. (2012). An estimation of the economic impact of spousal violence in Canada, 2009. (Department of Justice Canada).
84. World Health Organization, United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime & United Nations Development Programme. (2014). Global status report on violence prevention 2014. (Geneva: World Health Organization).

85. World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health and Research, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine & South African Medical Research Council. (2013). Global and regional estimates of violence against women: prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence. (World Health Organization).
86. Stoltenborgh, M., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., van IJzendoorn, M. H. & Alink, L. R. A. (2013). Cultural-geographical differences in the occurrence of child physical abuse? A meta-analysis of global prevalence. *International Journal of Psychology*, 48(2), 81-94.
87. Capaldi, D. M., Knoble, N. B., Shortt, J. W. & Kim, H. K. (2012). A systematic review of risk factors for intimate partner violence. *Partner Abuse*, 3(2), 231-280.
88. Copp, J. E., Kuhl, D. C., Giordano, P. C., Longmore, M. A. & Manning, W. D. (2015). Intimate partner violence in neighborhood context: the roles of structural disadvantage, subjective disorder, and emotional distress. *Social Science Research*, 53, 59-72.
89. Cheng, T. C. & Lo, C. C. (2016). Racial Disparities in Intimate Partner Violence Examined Through the Multiple Disadvantage Model. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 31(11), 2026-2051.
94. Moffitt, P., Fikowski, H., Mauricio, M. & Mackenzie, A. (2013). Intimate partner violence in the Canadian territorial north: perspectives from a literature review and a media watch. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health*, 72.
95. Allan, B. & Smylie, J. (2015). *First Peoples, second class treatment - the role of racism in the health and well-being of Indigenous peoples in Canada*. (Toronto, ON): (The Wellesley Institute).
96. Four Worlds Centre for Development Learning, Bopp, M., Bopp, J. & Lane, P. (2003). *Aboriginal domestic violence in Canada*. (Aboriginal Healing Foundation).
97. Aguiar, W. & Halseth, R. (2015). *Aboriginal Peoples and historic trauma: the process of intergenerational transmission*. (National Collaborating Centre for Aboriginal Health).
98. Health Canada & Assembly of First Nations. (2015). *First Nations mental wellness continuum framework*. (Health Canada, Assembly of First Nations).
99. Bombay, A., Matheson, K. & Anisman, H. (2009). Intergenerational trauma: convergence of multiple processes among First Nations peoples in Canada. *Journal of Aboriginal Health*, 5(3), 6-47.
100. Bombay, A., Matheson, K. & Anisman, H. (2011). The impact of stressors on second generation Indian residential school survivors. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 48(4), 367-391.

Impacts on Canadians

90. Perreault, S. & Hotton Mahony, T. (2012). *Criminal victimization in the territories, 2009*. (Statistics Canada).
91. Rivara, F. P., Anderson, M. L., Fishman, P., Reid, R. J., Bonomi, A. E., Carrell, D. & Thompson, R. S. (2009). Age, period, and cohort effects on intimate partner violence. *Violence and Victims*, 24(5), 627-638.
92. Miszkurka, M., Steensma, C. & Phillips, S. P. (2016). Correlates of partner and family violence among older Canadians: a life-course approach. *Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention in Canada: Research, Policy, and Practice*, 36(3), 45-53.
93. Afifi, T. O., Taillieu, T., Cheung, K., Katz, L. Y., Tonmyr, L. & Sareen, J. (2015). Substantiated reports of child maltreatment from the Canadian Incidence Study of Reported Child Abuse and Neglect 2008: examining child and household characteristics and child functional impairment. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 60(7), 315-323.
101. Chansonneuve, D. (2005). *Reclaiming connections: understanding residential school trauma among Aboriginal People*. (The Aboriginal Healing Foundation).
102. Elias, B., Mignone, J., Hall, M., Hong, S. P., Hart, L. & Sareen, J. (2012). Trauma and suicide behaviour histories among a Canadian Indigenous population: an empirical exploration of the potential role of Canada's residential school system. *Social Science & Medicine*, 74(10), 1560-1569.
103. Hatala, A. R., Desjardins, M. & Bombay, A. (2015). Reframing narratives of Aboriginal health inequity: exploring Cree Elder resilience and well-being in contexts of historical trauma. *Qualitative Health Research*.
104. Kirmayer, L. J., Gone, J. P. & Moses, J. (2014). Rethinking historical trauma. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 51(3), 299-319.
105. Marsh, T. N., Coholic, D., Cote-Meek, S. & Najavits, L. M. (2015). Blending Aboriginal and Western healing methods to treat intergenerational trauma with substance use disorder in Aboriginal peoples who live in Northeastern Ontario, Canada. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 12(1), 1-12.

106. Native Women's Association of Canada. (2015). Fact sheet: root causes of violence against Aboriginal women and the impact of colonization. (Akwasasne, Ontario): (Native Women's Association of Canada).
107. Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada. (2015). The survivors speak: a report of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada. (Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada).
108. Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada. (2015). What we have learned: principles of truth and reconciliation. (Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada).
109. Bombay, A., Matheson, K. & Anisman, H. (2014). The intergenerational effects of Indian residential schools: implications for the concept of historical trauma. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 51(3), 320-338.
110. Brassard, R., Montminy, L., Bergeron, A. & Sosa-Sanchez, A. I. (2015). Application of intersectional analysis to data on domestic violence against Aboriginal women living in the province of Quebec. *Aboriginal Policy Studies*, 4(1), 3-23.
111. Basile, K. C., Breiding, M. J. & Smith, S. G. (2016). Disability and risk of recent sexual violence in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 106(5), 928-933.
112. Hahn, J. W., McCormick, M. C., Silverman, J. G., Robinson, E. B. & Koenen, K. C. (2014). Examining the impact of disability status on intimate partner violence victimization in a population sample. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 29(17), 3063-3085.
113. Krnjacki, L., Emerson, E., Llewellyn, G. & Kavanagh, A. M. (2016). Prevalence and risk of violence against people with and without disabilities: findings from an Australian population-based study. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 40(1), 16-21.
114. Breiding, M. J. & Armour, B. S. (2015). The association between disability and intimate partner violence in the United States. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 25(6), 455-457.
115. Lorenzetti, L., Wells, L., Callaghan, T. & Logie, C. (2014). Domestic violence in Alberta's gender and sexually diverse communities: towards a framework for prevention. (Shift: The Project to End Domestic Violence).
116. Ryan, C., Russell, S. T., Huebner, D., Diaz, R. & Sanchez, J. (2010). Family acceptance in adolescence and the health of LGBT young adults. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, 23(4), 205-213.
117. Dank, M., Lachman, P., Zweig, J. M. & Yahner, J. (2014). Dating violence experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 43(5), 846-857.
118. Edwards, K. M. & Sylaska, K. M. (2013). The perpetration of intimate partner violence among LGBTQ college youth: the role of minority stress. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 42(11), 1721-1731.
119. Whitton, S. W., Newcomb, M. E., Messinger, A. M., Byck, G. & Mustanski, B. (2016). A longitudinal study of IPV victimization among sexual minority youth. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
120. Oliffe, J. L., Han, C., Maria, E. S., Lohan, M., Howard, T., Stewart, D. E. & Macmillan, H. (2014). Gay men and intimate partner violence: a gender analysis. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 36(4), 564-579.
121. Miladinovic, Z. & Mulligan, L. (2015). Homicide in Canada, 2014. (Statistics Canada).
122. Dong, X., Simon, M., Mendes de Leon, C. F., Fulmer, T., Beck, T., Hebert, L., Dyer, C., Paveza, G. & Evans, D. (2009). Elder self-neglect and abuse and mortality risk in a community-dwelling population. *The Journal of American Medical Association*, 302(5), 517-526.
123. Dong, X. Q., Simon, M. A., Beck, T. T., Farran, C., McCann, J. J., Mendes de Leon, C. F., Laumann, E. & Evans, D. A. (2011). Elder abuse and mortality: the role of psychological and social wellbeing. *Gerontology*, 57(6), 549-558.
124. Lachs, M. S., Williams, C. S., O'Brien, S., Pillemer, K. A. & Charlson, M. E. (1998). The mortality of elder mistreatment. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 280(5), 428-432.
125. Lachs, M. S. & Pillemer, K. A. (2015). Elder abuse. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 373(20), 1947-1956.
126. Welch, G. L. & Bonner, B. L. (2013). Fatal child neglect: characteristics, causation, and strategies for prevention. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 37(10), 745-752.
127. Rhodes, A. E., Boyle, M. H., Bethell, J., Wekerle, C., Goodman, D., Tonmyr, L., Leslie, B., Lam, K. & Manion, I. (2012). Child maltreatment and onset of emergency department presentations for suicide-related behaviors. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 36(6), 542-551.
128. Martin, M. S., Dykxhoorn, J., Afifi, T. O. & Colman, I. (2016). Child abuse and the prevalence of suicide attempts among those reporting suicide ideation. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 1-8.

129. Moffitt, T.E. & Klaus-Grawe 2012 Think Tank (2013). Childhood exposure to violence and lifelong health: Clinical intervention science and stress biology research. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25(4pt2), 1619-1634.
130. Sinha, M. (2012). Family violence in Canada: a statistical profile, 2010. (Statistics Canada).
131. Clark, C., Caldwell, T., Power, C. & Stansfeld, S. A. (2010). Does the influence of childhood adversity on psychopathology persist across the lifecourse? A 45-year prospective epidemiologic study. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 20(5), 385-394.
132. Afifi, T. O., Mota, N., MacMillan, H. L. & Sareen, J. (2013). Harsh physical punishment in childhood and adult physical health. *Pediatrics*, 132(2), e333-e340.
133. Afifi, T. O., Mota, N. P., Dasiewicz, P., MacMillan, H. L. & Sareen, J. (2012). Physical punishment and mental disorders: results From a nationally representative US sample. *Pediatrics*, 130(2), 184-192.
134. Beckett, C., Maughan, B., Rutter, M., Castle, J., Colvert, E., Groothues, C., Kreppner, J., Stevens, S., O'Connor, T. G. & Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. (2006). Do the effects of early severe deprivation on cognition persist into early adolescence? Findings from the English and Romanian adoptees study. *Child Development*, 77(3), 696-711.
135. Beckett, C., Bredenkamp, D., Castle, J., Groothues, C., O'Connor, T. G., Rutter, M. & and the English and Romanian Adoptees (E.R.A.) Study Team. (2002). Behavior patterns associated with institutional deprivation: a study of children adopted from Romania. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 23(5).
136. Beckett, C., Maughan, B., Rutter, M., Castle, J., Colvert, E., Groothues, C., Hawkins, A., Kreppner, J., O'Connor, T. G., Stevens, S. & Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. (2007). Scholastic attainment following severe early institutional deprivation: a study of children adopted from Romania. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 35(6), 1063-1073.
137. Colvert, E., Rutter, M., Beckett, C., Castle, J., Groothues, C., Hawkins, A., Kreppner, J., O'Connor, T. G., Stevens, S. & Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. (2008). Emotional difficulties in early adolescence following severe early deprivation: findings from the English and Romanian adoptees study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 20(02), 547-567.
138. Croft, C., Beckett, C., Rutter, M., Castle, J., Colvert, E., Groothues, C., Hawkins, A., Kreppner, J., Stevens, S. E. & Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. (2007). Early adolescent outcomes of institutionally-deprived and non-deprived adoptees. II: Language as a protective factor and a vulnerable outcome. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 48(1), 31-44.
139. O'Connor, T. G. & Rutter, M. (2000). Attachment disorder behavior following early severe deprivation: extension and longitudinal follow-up. English and Romanian Adoptees Study Team. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39(6), 703-712.
140. O'Connor, T. G., Rutter, M., Beckett, C., Keaveney, L. & Kreppner, J. M. (2000). The effects of global severe privation on cognitive competence: extension and longitudinal follow-up. *Child Development*, 71(2), 376-390.
141. Rutter, M. (1998). Developmental catch-up, and deficit, following adoption after severe global early privation. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 39(4), 465-476.
142. Rutter, M., O'Connor, T. G. & English and Romanian Adoptees (ERA) Study Team. (2004). Are there biological programming effects for psychological development? Findings from a study of Romanian adoptees. *Developmental Psychology*, 40(1), 81-94.
143. Rutter, M. L., Kreppner, J. M. & O'Connor, T. G. (2001). Specificity and heterogeneity in children's responses to profound institutional privation. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 179(2), 97-103.
144. Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S., Beckett, C., Kreppner, J., Castle, J., Colvert, E., Stevens, S., Hawkins, A. & Rutter, M. (2008). Is sub-nutrition necessary for a poor outcome following early institutional deprivation? *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 50(9), 664-671.
145. Stevens, S. E., Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S., Kreppner, J. M., Beckett, C., Castle, J., Colvert, E., Groothues, C., Hawkins, A. & Rutter, M. (2008). Inattention/overactivity following early severe institutional deprivation: presentation and associations in early adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 36(3), 385-398.
146. Afifi, T. O., Macmillan, H., Cox, B. J., Asmundson, G. J. G., Stein, M. B. & Sareen, J. (2009). Mental health correlates of intimate partner violence in marital relationships in a nationally representative sample of males and females. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 24(8), 1398-1417.
147. Bebbington, P. (2009). Childhood sexual abuse and psychosis: aetiology and mechanism. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 18(4), 284-293.
148. Bonomi, A. E., Cannon, E. A., Anderson, M. L., Rivara, F. P. & Thompson, R. S. (2008). Association between self-reported health and physical and/or sexual abuse experienced before age 18. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 32(7), 693-701.

149. Bonomi, A. E., Anderson, M. L., Reid, R. J., Rivara, F. P., Carrell, D. & Thompson, R. S. (2009). Medical and psychosocial diagnoses in women with a history of intimate partner violence. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 169(18), 1692-1697.
150. Enns, M. W., Cox, B. J., Afifi, T. O., De Graaf, R., Have, M. T. & Sareen, J. (2006). Childhood adversities and risk for suicidal ideation and attempts: a longitudinal population-based study. *Psychological Medicine*, 36(12), 1769-1778.
151. Maniglio, R. (2009). The impact of child sexual abuse on health: a systematic review of reviews. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 29(7), 647-657.
152. Nikulina, V. & Widom, C. S. (2013). Child maltreatment and executive functioning in middle adulthood: a prospective examination. *Neuropsychology*, 27(4), 10.
153. Paras, M. L., Murad, M. & Chen, L. P. (2009). Sexual abuse and lifetime diagnosis of somatic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 302(5), 550-561.
154. Read, J., Fink, P., Rudegeair, T., Felitti, V. & Whitfield, C. (2008). Child maltreatment and psychosis: a return to a genuinely integrated bio-psycho-social model. *Clinical Schizophrenia & Related Psychoses*, 2(3), 235-254.
155. Read, J., Bentall, R. P. & Fosse, R. (2009). Time to abandon the bio-bio-bio model of psychosis: exploring the epigenetic and psychological mechanisms by which adverse life events lead to psychotic symptoms. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 18(04), 299-310.
156. Roos, L. E., Afifi, T. O., Martin, C. G., Pietzrack, R. H., Tsai, J. & Sareen, J. (2016). Linking typologies of childhood adversity to adult incarceration: findings from a nationally representative sample. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*.
157. Young, J. C. & Widom, C. S. (2014). Long-term effects of child abuse and neglect on emotion processing in adulthood. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 38(8), 1369-1381.
158. Wathen, C. N. (2012). Health impacts of violent victimization on women and their children. (Department of Justice).
159. Wegman, H. L. & Stetler, C. (2009). A meta-analytic review of the effects of childhood abuse on medical outcomes in adulthood. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 71(8).
160. McTavish, J. R., MacGregor, J. C. D., Wathen, C. N. & MacMillan, H. L. (2016). Children's exposure to intimate partner violence: an overview. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 1-15.
161. Tarullo, A. R. & Gunnar, M. R. (2006). Child maltreatment and the developing HPA axis. *Hormones and Behavior*, 50(4), 632-639.
162. Gonzalez, A. (2013). The impact of childhood maltreatment on biological systems: implications for clinical interventions. *Paediatrics & Child Health*, 18(8), 415-418.
163. Danese, A. & McEwan, B. S. (2012). Adverse childhood experiences, allostasis, allostatic load, and age-related disease. *Physiology & Behavior*, 106(1), 29-39.
164. Kim, H. K., Tiberio, S. S., Capaldi, D. M., Shortt, J. W., Squires, E. C. & Snodgrass, J. J. (2015). Intimate partner violence and diurnal cortisol patterns in couples. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 51, 35-46.
165. De Bellis, M. D. & Zisk, A. (2014). The biological effects of childhood trauma. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 23(2), 185-222.
166. Springer, K. W. (2009). Childhood physical abuse and midlife physical health: testing a multi-pathway life course model. *Social Science & Medicine*, 69(1), 138-146.
167. Borsini, A., Hepgul, N., Mondelli, V., Chalder, T. & Pariante, C. M. (2014). Childhood stressors in the development of fatigue syndromes: a review of the past 20 years of research. *Psychological Medicine*, 44(9), 1809-1823.
168. Catalina-Romero, C., Calvo, E., Sánchez-Chaparro, M. A., Valdivielso, P., Sainz, J. C., Cabrera, M., González-Quintela, A. & Román, J. (2013). The relationship between job stress and dyslipidemia. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 41(2), 142-149.
169. Dimsdale, J. E. (2008). Psychological stress and cardiovascular disease. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 51(13), 1237-1246.
170. Esler, M., Eikelis, N., Schlaich, M., Lambert, G., Alvarenga, M., Dawood, T., Kaye, D., Barton, D., Pier, C., Guo, L., Brenchley, C., Jennings, G. & Lambert, E. (2008). Chronic mental stress is a cause of essential hypertension: presence of biological markers of stress. *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology & Physiology*, 35(4), 498-502.
171. Galtrey, C. M., Mula, M. & Cock, H. R. (2016). Stress and epilepsy: fact or fiction, and what can we do about it? *Practical Neurology*.
172. Gameiro, G. H., da Silva Andrade, A., Nouer, D. F. & Ferraz de Arruda Veiga, M. C. (2006). How may stressful experiences contribute to the development of temporomandibular disorders? *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 10(4), 261-268.

173. Groesz, L. M., McCoy, S., Carl, J., Saslow, L., Stewart, J., Adler, N., Laraia, B. & Epel, E. (2012). What is eating you? Stress and the drive to eat. *Appetite*, 58(2), 717-721.
174. Hannibal, K. E. & Bishop, M. D. (2014). Chronic stress, cortisol dysfunction, and pain: a psychoneuroendocrine rationale for stress management in pain rehabilitation. *Physical Therapy*, 94(12), 1816-1825.
175. Haynes, C., Lee, M. D. & Yeomans, M. R. (2003). Interactive effects of stress, dietary restraint, and disinhibition on appetite. *Eating Behaviors*, 4(4), 369-383.
176. Juster, R. P., McEwen, B. S. & Lupien, S. J. (2010). Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health and cognition. *Neuroscience and Behavioral Reviews*, 35(1), 2-16.
177. Kelly, S. J. & Ismail, M. (2015). Stress and type 2 diabetes: a review of how stress contributes to the development of type 2 diabetes. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 36, 441-462.
178. Kirkpatrick, H. A. & Heller, G. A. (2014). Post-traumatic stress disorder: theory and treatment update. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 47(4), 337-346.
179. Konturek, P. C., Brzozowski, T. & Konturek, S. J. (2011). Stress and the gut: pathophysiology, clinical consequences, diagnostic approach and treatment options. *Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, 62(6), 591-599.
180. Lagraauw, H. M., Kuiper, J. & Bot, I. (2015). Acute and chronic psychological stress as risk factors for cardiovascular disease: Insights gained from epidemiological, clinical and experimental studies. *Brain, Behavior and Immunity*, 50, 18-30.
181. Lo Sauro, C., Ravalidi, C., Cabras, P. L., Faravelli, C. & Ricca, V. (2008). Stress, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and eating disorders. *Neuropsychobiology*, 57(3), 95-115.
182. Lupien, S. J., McEwen, B. S., Gunnar, M. R. & Heim, C. (2009). Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, 10(6), 434-445.
183. Martínez-Martínez, L.-A., Mora, T., Vargas, A., Fuentes-Iniestra, M. & Martínez-Lavín, M. (2014). Sympathetic nervous system dysfunction in fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue syndrome, irritable bowel syndrome, and interstitial cystitis: a review of case-control studies. *Journal of Clinical Rheumatology*, 30(3), 146-150.
184. McEwen, B. S. (2008). Central effects of stress hormones in health and disease: understanding the protective and damaging effects of stress and stress mediators. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 583(2-3), 174-185.
185. McEwen, B. S. (2012). Brain on stress: how the social environment gets under the skin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(Suppl 2), 17180-17185.
186. Palagini, L., Drake, C. L., Gehrman, P., Meerlo, P. & Riemann, D. (2015). Early-life origin of adult insomnia: does prenatal-early-life stress play a role? *Sleep Medicine*, 16(4), 446-456.
187. Rosenberg, S. L., Miller, G. E., Brehm, J. M. & Celedón, J. C. (2014). Stress and asthma: Novel insights on genetic, epigenetic, and immunologic mechanisms. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 134(5), 1009-1015.
188. Powell, N. D., Tarr, A. J. & Sheridan, J. F. (2013). Psychosocial stress and inflammation in cancer. *Brain, Behavior and Immunity*, 30(Suppl), S41-S47.
189. Scott, K. A., Melhorn, S. J. & Sakai, R. R. (2012). Effects of chronic social stress on obesity. *Current Obesity Reports*, 1(1), 16-25.
190. Shalev, A. Y. (2009). Posttraumatic stress disorder and stress-related disorders. *The Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 32(3), 687-704.
191. Sinha, R. & Jasterboff, A. M. (2013). Stress as a common risk factor for obesity and addiction. *Biological Psychiatry*, 73(9), 827-835.
192. Sparrenberger, F., Cichelero, F. T., Ascoli, A. M., Fonseca, F. P., Weiss, G., Berwanger, O., Fuchs, S. C., Moreira, L. B. & Fuchs, F. D. (2009). Does psychosocial stress cause hypertension? a systematic review of observational studies. *Journal of Human Hypertension*, 23(1), 12-19.
193. Spruill, T. M. (2010). Chronic psychosocial stress and hypertension. *Current Hypertension Report*, 12(1), 10-16.
194. Stockhorst, U. & Antov, M. I. (2015). Modulation of fear extinction by stress, stress hormones and estradiol: a review. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 9.
195. Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., Bartholomew, J. B. & Sinha, R. (2014). Chronic psychological stress impairs recovery of muscular function and somatic sensations over a 96-hour period. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28(7), 2007-2017.

196. Turecki, G. & Brent, D. A. (2016). Suicide and suicidal behaviour. *The Lancet*, 387(10024), 1227-1239.
197. Vachon-Pressseau, E., Roy, M., Martel, M. O., Caron, E., Marin, M. F., Chen, J., Plante, I., Sullivan, M. J., Lupien, S. J. & Rainville, P. (2013). The stress model of chronic pain: evidence from basal cortisol and hippocampal structure and function in humans. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, 136(Pt 3), 815-827.
198. Van Oudenhove, L. & Aziz, Q. (2013). The role of psychosocial factors and psychiatric disorders in functional dyspepsia. *Nature Reviews. Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, 10(3), 158-167.
199. Ye, Y., Pang, Z., Chen, W., Ju, S. & Zhou, C. (2015). The epidemiology and risk factors of inflammatory bowel disease. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, 8(12), 22529-22542.
200. Ehlert, U. (2013). Enduring psychobiological effects of childhood adversity. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 38(9), 1850-1857.
201. Fagundes, C. P., Glaser, R. & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (2013). Stressful early life experiences and immune dysregulation across the lifespan. *Brain, Behavior and Immunity*, 27(1), 8-12.
202. Nicolaidis, N. C., Kyratzi, E., Lamprokostopoulou, A., Chrousos, G. P. & Charmandari, E. (2015). Stress, the stress system and the role of glucocorticoids. *Neuroimmunomodulation*, 22(1-2), 6-19.
203. Shalev, I., Moffitt, T. E., Sugden, K., Williams, B., Houts, R. M., Danese, A., Mill, J., Arseneault, L. & Caspi, A. (2013). Exposure to violence during childhood is associated with telomere erosion from 5 to 10 years of age: a longitudinal study. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 18(5), 576-581.
204. Bensley, L. S., Van Eenwyk, J. & Simmons, K. W. (2000). Self-reported childhood sexual and physical abuse and adult HIV-risk behaviors and heavy drinking. *Addiction*, 18(2), 151-158.
205. Devries, K. M., Child, J. C., Bacchus, L. J., Mak, J., Falder, G., Graham, K., Watts, C. & Heise, L. (2014). Intimate partner violence victimization and alcohol consumption in women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Addiction*, 109(3), 379-391.
206. Dong, M., Dube, S. R., Felitti, V. J., Giles, W. H. & Anda, R. F. (2003). Adverse childhood experiences and self-reported liver disease: new insights into the causal pathway. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 163(16), 1949-1956.
207. Dube, S. R., Miller, J. W., Brown, D. W., Giles, W. H., Felitti, V. J., Dong, M. & Anda, R. F. (2006). Adverse childhood experiences and the association with ever using alcohol and initiating alcohol use during adolescence. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 38(4), 444.
208. Hamburger, M. E., Moore, J., Koenig, L. J., Vlahov, D., Schoenbaum, E. E., Schuman, P., Mayer, K. & HIV Epidemiology Research Study Group. (2004). Persistence of inconsistent condom use: relation to abuse history and HIV serostatus. *AIDS and Behavior*, 8(3), 333-344.
209. Klein, H., Elifson, K. W. & Sterk, C. E. (2007). Childhood neglect and adulthood involvement in HIV-related risk behaviors. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 31(1), 39-53.
210. Strine, T. W., Edwards, V. J., Dube, S. R., Wagenfeld, M., Dhingra, S., Prehn, A. W., Rasmussen, S., McKnight-Eily, L. & Croft, J. B. (2012). The mediating sex-specific effect of psychological distress on the relationship between adverse childhood experiences and current smoking among adults. *Substance Abuse, Treatment, Prevention and Policy*, 7(30).
211. Strine, T. W., Dube, S. R., Edwards, V. J., Prehn, A. W., Rasmussen, S., Wagenfeld, M., Dhingra, S. & Croft, J. B. (2012). Associations between adverse childhood experiences, psychological distress, and adult alcohol problems. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, 36(3), 408-423.
212. Ouellet-Morin, I., Fisher, H. L., York-Smith, M., Fincham-Campbell, S., Moffitt, T. E. & Arseneault, L. (2015). Intimate partner violence and new-onset depression: a longitudinal study of women's childhood and adult histories of abuse. *Depression and Anxiety*, 32(5), 316-324.
213. Gonzalez, A., Macmillan, H., Tanaka, M., Jack, S. M. & Tonmyr, L. (2014). Subtypes of exposure to intimate partner violence within a Canadian child welfare sample: associated risks and child maladjustment. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 38(12), 1934-1944.
214. Jung, H., Herrenkohl, T. I., Lee, J. O., Hemphill, S. A., Heerde, J. A. & Skinner, M. L. (2015). Gendered pathways from child abuse to adult crime through internalizing and externalizing behaviors in childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
215. Lewis, T., McElroy, E., Harlaar, N. & Runyan, D. (2016). Does the impact of child sexual abuse differ from maltreated but non-sexually abused children? A prospective examination of the impact of child sexual abuse on internalizing and externalizing behavior problems. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 51, 31-40.

216. Colman, R. A. & Widom, C. S. (2004). Childhood abuse and neglect and adult intimate relationships: a prospective study. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 28(11), 1133-1151.
217. Cubellis, M. A., Peterson, B. E., Henninger, A. M. & Lee, D. (2016). Childhood sexual abuse and antisocial traits and behaviors: a gendered examination of the factors associated with perpetration of intimate partner violence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
218. Leonard, L. M. & Follette, V. M. (2002). Sexual functioning in women reporting a history of child sexual abuse: review of the empirical literature and clinical implications. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 13, 346-388.
219. Loeb, T. B., Williams, J. K., Carmona, J. V., Rivkin, I., Wyatt, G. E., Chin, D. & Asuan-O'Brien, A. (2002). Child sexual abuse: associations with the sexual functioning of adolescents and adults. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 13, 307-345.
220. McLeod, G. F., Fergusson, D. M. & Horwood, L. J. (2014). Childhood physical punishment or maltreatment and partnership outcomes at age 30. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 84(3), 307-15.
221. Rumstein-McKean, O. & Hunsley, J. (2001). Interpersonal and family functioning of female survivors of childhood sexual abuse. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 21(3), 471-490.
222. Whiffen, V. E. & MacIntosh, H. B. (2005). Mediators of the link between childhood sexual abuse and emotional distress: a critical review. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 6(1), 24-39.
223. Tardif-Williams, C. Y., Tanaka, M., Boyle, M. H. & MacMillan, H. L. (2015). The impact of childhood abuse and current mental health on young adult intimate relationship functioning. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
224. Sperry, D. M. & Widom, C. S. (2013). Child abuse and neglect, social support, and psychopathology in adulthood: a prospective investigation. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 37(6), 415-425.
225. Young, J. C. & Widom, C. S. (2014). Long-term effects of child abuse and neglect on emotion processing in adulthood. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 38(8), 1369-1381.
226. Sudbrack, R., Manfro, P. H., Kuhn, I. M., de Carvalho, H. W. & Lara, D. R. (2015). What doesn't kill you makes you stronger and weaker: how childhood trauma relates to temperament traits. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 62, 123-129.
227. Lomanowska, A. M., Boivin, M., Hertzman, C. & Fleming, A. S. (2015). Parenting begets parenting: A neurobiological perspective on early adversity and the transmission of parenting styles across generations. *Neuroscience*.
228. Coohy, C. (2007). The relationship between mothers' social networks and severe domestic violence: a test of the social isolation hypothesis. *Violence and Victims*, 22(4), 503-512.
229. Dunn, J. L. (2004). "Victims" and "survivors": emerging vocabularies of motive for "battered women who stay". *Sociological Inquiry*, 75(1), 1-30.
230. Esqueda, C. W. & Harrison, L. A. (2005). The influence of gender role stereotypes, the woman's race, and level of provocation and resistance on domestic violence culpability attributions. *Sex Roles*, 53(11), 821-834.
231. Harrison, L. A. & Esqueda, C. W. (2000). Effects of race and victim drinking on domestic violence attributions. *Sex Roles*, 42(11), 1043-1057.
232. Harrison, L. A. & Esqueda, C. W. (1999). Myths and stereotypes of actors involved in domestic violence: implications for domestic violence culpability attributions. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 4(2), 129-138.
233. Murray, C. E., Crowe, A. & Overstreet, N. M. (2015). Sources and components of stigma experienced by survivors of intimate partner violence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
234. Overstreet, N. M. & Quinn, D. M. (2013). The intimate partner violence stigmatization model and barriers to help-seeking. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 35(1), 109-122.
235. Maguire, S. A., Williams, B., Naughton, A. M., Cowley, L. E., Tempest, V., Mann, M. K., Teague, M. & Kemp, A. M. (2015). A systematic review of the emotional, behavioural and cognitive features exhibited by school-aged children experiencing neglect or emotional abuse. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 41(5), 641-653.
236. Anthonysamy, A. & Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J. (2007). Peer status and behaviors of maltreated children and their classmates in the early years of school. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 31(9), 971-991.
237. Kurtz, P. D., Gaudin, J. M. Jr., Wodarski, J. S. & Howing, P. T. (1993). Maltreatment and the school-aged child: school performance consequences. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 17(5), 581-589.
238. Romano, E., Babchishin, L., Marquis, R. & Frechette, S. (2015). Childhood maltreatment and educational outcomes. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 16(4), 418-437.

239. Shonk, S. M. & Cicchetti, D. (2001). Maltreatment, competency deficits, and risk for academic and behavioral maladjustment. *Developmental Psychology*, 37(1), 3-17.
240. Tanaka, M., Georgiades, K., Boyle, M. H. & MacMillan, H. L. (2015). Child maltreatment and educational attainment in young adulthood: results from the Ontario Child Health Study. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 30(2), 195-214.
241. Zolotor, A., Kotch, J., Dufort, V., Winsor, J., Catellier, D. & Bou-Saada, I. (1999). School performance in a longitudinal cohort of children at risk of maltreatment. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 3(1), 19-27.
242. Zielinski, D. S. (2009). Child maltreatment and adult socioeconomic well-being. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 33(10), 666-678.
243. Wathen, C. N., MacGregor, J. C. D. & MacQuarrie, B. J. (2015). The impact of domestic violence in the workplace: results from a pan-Canadian survey. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 57(7).
244. Wathen, C. N., MacGregor, J. C. D., MacQuarrie, B. J. & The Canadian Labour Congress. (2014). Can work be safe, when home isn't? Initial findings of a pan-Canadian survey on domestic violence and the workplace. (Centre for Research and Education on Violence Against Women and Children).
245. Borchers, A., Lee, R. C., Martsolf, D. S. & Maler, J. (2016). Employment maintenance and intimate partner violence. *Workplace Health & Safety*.
246. Reeves, C. & O'Leary-Kelly, A. M. (2007). The effects and costs of intimate partner violence for work organizations. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 22(3), 327-344.
247. Swanberg, J. E., Logan, T. K. & Macke, C. (2005). Intimate partner violence, employment, and the workplace: consequences and future directions. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 6(4), 286-312.
248. Swanberg, J. E. & Logan, T. K. (2005). Domestic violence and employment: a qualitative study. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 10(1), 3-17.
249. Wathen, C. N., MacGregor, J. C. D. & MacQuarrie, B. J. (2016). Relationships among intimate partner violence, work, and health. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
250. Herrman, H., Stewart, D. E., Diaz-Granados, N., Berger, E. L., Jackson, B. & Yuen, T. (2011). What is resilience? *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 56(5), 258-265.
251. Feder, A., Nestler, E. J. & Charney, D. S. (2009). Psychobiology and molecular genetics of resilience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(6), 446-457.
252. Walsh, W. A., Dawson, J. & Mattingly, M. J. (2010). How are we measuring resilience following childhood maltreatment? Is the research adequate and consistent? What is the impact on research, practice, and policy? *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 11(1), 27-41.
253. Domhardt, M., Munzer, A., Fegert, J. M. & Goldbeck, L. (2015). Resilience in survivors of child sexual abuse: a systematic review of the literature. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 16(4), 476-493.
254. Klika, J. B. & Herrenkohl, T. I. (2013). A review of developmental research on resilience in maltreated children. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 14(3), 222-234.
255. Cicchetti, D. (2013). Annual research review: resilient functioning in maltreated children past, present, and future perspectives. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(4), 402-422.
256. Wathen, C. N., MacGregor, J. C., Hammerton, J., Coben, J. H., Herrman, H., Stewart, D. E., MacMillan, H. L. & PreVAiL Research Network. (2012). Priorities for research in child maltreatment, intimate partner violence and resilience to violence exposures: results of an international Delphi consensus development process. *BMC Public Health*, 12(684).
257. Caspi, A., McClay, J., Moffitt, T. E., Mill, J., Martin, J., Craig, I. W., Taylor, A. & Poulton, R. (2002). Role of genotype in the cycle of violence in maltreated children. *Science*, 297(5582), 851-854.
258. Cruz-Fuentes, C. S., Benjet, C., Martinez-Levy, G. A., Perez-Molina, A., Briones-Velasco, M. & Suarez-Gonzalez, J. (2014). BDNF Met66 modulates the cumulative effect of psychosocial childhood adversities on major depression in adolescents. *Brain and Behavior*, 4(2), 290-297.
259. Uher, R., Caspi, A., Houts, R., Sugden, K., Williams, B., Poulton, R. & Moffitt, T. E. (2011). Serotonin transporter gene moderates childhood maltreatment's effects on persistent but not single-episode depression: replications and implications for resolving inconsistent results. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 135(1-3), 56-65.
260. Polanczyk, G., Caspi, A., Williams, B., Price, T. S., Danese, A., Sugden, K., Uher, R., Poulton, R. & Moffitt, T. E. (2009). Protective effect of CRHR1 gene variants on the development of adult depression following childhood maltreatment: replication and extension. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 66(9), 978-985.

261. Bradley, R. G., Binder, E. B., Epstein, M. P., Tang, Y., Nair, H. P., Liu, W., Gillespie, C. F., Berg, T., Evces, M., Newport, D. J., Stowe, Z. N., Heim, C. M., Nemeroff, C. B., Schwartz, A., Cubells, J. F. & Ressler, K. J. (2008). Influence of child abuse on adult depression: moderation by the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor gene. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 65(2), 190-200.
262. Binder, E. B., Bradley, R. G. & Liu, W. (2008). Association of fkbp5 polymorphisms and childhood abuse with risk of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in adults. *JAMA*, 299(11), 1291-1305.
263. Liberzon, I., King, A. P. & Ressler, K. J. (2014). Interaction of the adrb2 gene polymorphism with childhood trauma in predicting adult symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 71(10), 1174-1182.
264. Gershon, N. B. & High, P. C. (2015). Epigenetics and child abuse: Modern-day Darwinism—The miraculous ability of the human genome to adapt, and then adapt again. *American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part C, Seminars in Medical Genetics*, 169(4), 353-360.
265. Blaze, J., Asok, A. & Roth, T. L. (2015). The long-term impact of adverse caregiving environments on epigenetic modifications and telomeres. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 9.
266. Galler, J. & Rabinowitz, D. G. (2014). Chapter Seven - The intergenerational effects of early adversity. In *Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science Epigenetics and Neuroplasticity: Evidence and Debate*, Volume 128, (pp. 177-198). [Akbarian, S. and Lubin, F. (Ed.)]. Academic Press.
267. Heim, C. & Binder, E. B. (2012). Current research trends in early life stress and depression: review of human studies on sensitive periods, gene-environment interactions, and epigenetics. *Experimental Neurology*, 233(1), 102-111.
268. Provencal, N. & Binder, E. B. (2015). The effects of early life stress on the epigenome: from the womb to adulthood and even before. *Experimental Neurology*, 268, 10-20.
269. Vaiserman, A. (2015). Epidemiologic evidence for association between adverse environmental exposures in early life and epigenetic variation: a potential link to disease susceptibility? *Clinical Epigenetics*, 7(1), 1-11.
270. Riddihough, G. & Zahn, L. M. (2010). What is epigenetics? *Science*, 330(6004), 611.
271. Maas, C., Herrenkohl, T. I. & Sousa, C. (2008). Review of research on child maltreatment and violence in youth. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 9(1), 56-67.
272. Moylan, C. A., Herrenkohl, T. I., Sousa, C., Tajima, E. A., Herrenkohl, R. C. & Russo, M. J. (2010). The effects of child abuse and exposure to domestic violence on adolescent internalizing and externalizing behavior problems. *Journal of Family Violence*, 25(1), 53-63.
273. Dong, M., Anda, R. F., Felitti, V. J., Dube, S. R., Williamson, D. F., Thompson, T. J., Loo, C. M. & Giles, W. H. (2004). The interrelatedness of multiple forms of childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 28(7), 771-784.
274. Brown, D. W., Anda, R. F., Tiemeier, H., Felitti, V. J., Edwards, V. J., Croft, J. B. & Giles, W. H. (2009). Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of premature mortality. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 37(5), 389-396.
275. Edwards, V. J., Holden, G. W., Felitti, V. J. & Anda, R. F. (2003). Relationship between multiple forms of childhood maltreatment and adult mental health in community respondents: results from the adverse childhood experiences study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 160(8), 1453-1460.
276. Felitti, V. J. (2009). Adverse childhood experiences and adult health. *Academic Pediatrics*, 9(3), 131-132.
277. Gilbert, L. K., Breiding, M. J., Merrick, M. T., Thompson, W. W., Ford, D. C., Dhingra, S. S. & Parks, S. E. (2015). Childhood adversity and adult chronic disease: an update from ten states and the District of Columbia, 2010. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 48(3), 345-349.
278. Larkin, H., Shields, J. J. & Anda, R. F. (2012). The health and social consequences of Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) across the lifespan: an introduction to prevention and intervention in the community. *Journal of Prevention & Intervention in the Community*, 40(4), 263-270.
279. Johnson, H. (2006). Measuring violence against women: statistical trends, 2006. (Statistics Canada).
280. Ansara, D. L. & Hindin, M. J. (2011). Psychosocial consequences of intimate partner violence for women and men in Canada. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 26(8), 1628-1645.
281. Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics. (2005). Family violence in Canada: a statistical profile 2005. (Statistics Canada).
282. Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics. (2006). Family violence in Canada: a statistical profile 2006. (Statistics Canada).

283. Vivian, D. & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, J. (1994). Are bi-directionally violent couples mutually victimized? A gender-sensitive comparison. *Violence and Victims*, 9(2), 107-124.
284. Widom, C. S. & Wilson, H. W. (2014). Intergenerational transmission of violence. In *Violence and Mental Health*, (pp. 27-45). [Lindert, J. and Levav, I. (Eds.)]. (Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media).
285. Haas, H. & Cusson, M. (2015). Comparing theories' performance in predicting violence. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 38, 75-83.
286. Narang, D. S. & Contreras, J. M. (2005). The relationships of dissociation and affective family environment with the intergenerational cycle of child abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 29(6), 683-699.
287. Schwartz, J. P., Hage, S. M., Bush, I. & Burns, L. K. (2006). Unhealthy parenting and potential mediators as contributing factors to future intimate violence: a review of the literature. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 7(3), 206-221.
288. Boutwell, B. B., Beaver, K. M. & Barnes, J. C. (2012). More alike than different. Assortative mating and antisocial propensity in adulthood. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 39(9), 1240-1254.
289. Frisell, T., Pawitan, Y., Långström, N. & Lichtenstein, P. (2012). Heritability, assortative mating and gender differences in violent crime: results from a total population sample using twin, adoption, and sibling models. *Behavior Genetics*, 42(1), 3-18.
290. Schwartz, C. R. (2013). Trends and variation in assortative mating: causes and consequences. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 39, 451-470.
291. Cicchetti, D., Toth, S. L. & Maughan, A. (2000). An ecological-transactional model of child maltreatment. In *Handbook of Developmental Psychopathology*, (pp. 689-722). [Sameroff, A. J., Lewis, M. & Miller, S. M. (Eds.)]. (Boston, MA: Springer US).
292. Wright, E. M. & Fagan, A. A. (2013). The cycle of violence in context: exploring the moderating roles of neighborhood disadvantage and cultural norms. *Criminology; An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 51(2), 217-249.
293. Brown, J., Cohen, P., Johnson, J. G. & Salzinger, S. (1998). A longitudinal analysis of risk factors for child maltreatment: findings of a 17-year prospective study of officially recorded and self-reported child abuse and neglect. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 22(11), 1065-1078.
294. Wathen, C. N., Jamieson, E., Wilson, M., Daly, M., Worster, A., MacMillan, H. L. & McMaster University Violence Against Women Research Group. (2007). Risk indicators to identify intimate partner violence in the emergency department. *Open Medicine*, 1(2), e113-e122.
295. Stith, S. M., Rosen, K. H., Middleton, K. A., Busch, A. L., Lundeberg, K. & Carlton, R. P. (2000). The intergenerational transmission of spouse abuse: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 62(3), 640-654.
296. Haller, J., Harold, G., Sandi, C. & Neumann, I. D. (2014). Effects of adverse early-life events on aggression and anti-social behaviours in animals and humans. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*, 26(10), 724-738.
297. MacMillan, H. L. & Wathen, C. N. (2014). Children's exposure to intimate partner violence. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 23(2), 295-308.
298. Johnson, W. L., Giordano, P. C., Manning, W. D. & Longmore, M. A. (2015). The age-IPV curve: changes in the perpetration of intimate partner violence during adolescence and young adulthood. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 44(3), 708-726.
299. Rivara, F. P., Anderson, M. L., Fishman, P., Reid, R. J., Bonomi, A. E., Carrell, D. & Thompson, R. S. (2009). Age, period, and cohort effects on intimate partner violence. *Violence and Victims*, 24(5), 627-638.
300. Shortt, J. W., Capaldi, D. M., Kim, H. K., Kerr, D. C. R., Owen, L. D. & Feingold, A. (2012). Stability of intimate partner violence by men across 12 years in young adulthood: effects of relationship transitions. *Prevention Science*, 13(4), 360-369.
301. Moretti, M. M., Catchpole, R. E. H. & Odgers, C. (2005). The dark side of girlhood: recent trends, risk factors and trajectories to aggression and violence. *The Canadian Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Review*, 14(1), 21-25.
302. Acierno, R., Hernandez, M. A., Amstadter, A. B., Resnick, H. S., Steve, K., Muzzy, W. & Kilpatrick, D. G. (2010). Prevalence and correlates of emotional, physical, sexual, and financial abuse and potential neglect in the United States: the national elder mistreatment study. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100(2), 292-297.

Influencing the risk for family violence

303. Laumann, E. O., Leitsch, S. A. & Waite, L. J. (2008). Elder mistreatment in the United States: prevalence estimates from a nationally representative study. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 63(4), S248-S254.
304. Sinha, M. (2012). Family violence in Canada – a statistical profile, 2010. (Statistics Canada).
305. Burnes, D., Pillemer, K., Caccamise, P. L., Mason, A., Henderson, C. R., Berman, J., Cook, A. M., Shukoff, D., Brownell, P., Powell, M., Salamone, A. & Lachs, M. S. (2015). Prevalence of and risk factors for elder abuse and neglect in the community: a population-based study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 63(9), 1906-1912.
306. Dutton, D. G. (2012). The prevention of intimate partner violence. *Prevention Science*, 13(4), 395-397.
307. Hurme, T., Alanko, S., Anttila, P., Juven, T. & Svedstrom, E. (2008). Risk factors for physical child abuse in infants and toddlers. *European Journal of Pediatric Surgery*, 18(6), 387-391.
308. Davies, E. A. & Jones, A. C. (2013). Risk factors in child sexual abuse. *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine*, 20(3), 146-150.
309. Renner, L. M. & Whitney, S. D. (2012). Risk factors for unidirectional and bidirectional intimate partner violence among young adults. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 36(1), 40-52.
310. Afifi, T. O. & MacMillan, H. L. (2011). Resilience following child maltreatment: a review of protective factors. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 56(5), 266-272.
311. Haskett, M. E., Nears, K., Sabourin Ward, C. & McPherson, A. V. (2006). Diversity in adjustment of maltreated children: factors associated with resilient functioning. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 26(6), 796-812.
312. Howell, K. H. & Miller-Graff, L. E. (2014). Protective factors associated with resilient functioning in young adulthood after childhood exposure to violence. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 38(12), 1985-1994.
313. Stith, S. M., Liu, T., Davies, L. C., Boykin, E. L., Alder, M. C., Harris, J. M., Som, A., McPherson, M. & Dees, J. E. M. E. G. (2009). Risk factors in child maltreatment: a meta-analytic review of the literature. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 14(1), 13-29.
314. Amstadter, A. B., Zajac, K., Strachan, M., Hernandez, M. A., Kilpatrick, D. G. & Acierno, R. (2011). Prevalence and correlates of elder mistreatment in South Carolina: the South Carolina elder mistreatment study. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 26(15), 2947-2972.
315. Austin, A., Herrick, H., Proescholdbell, S. & Simmons, J. (2016). Disability and exposure to high levels of adverse childhood experiences: effect on health and risk behavior. *North Carolina Medical Journal*, 77(1), 30-36.
316. Breiding, M. J. & Armour, B. S. (2015). The association between disability and intimate partner violence in the United States. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 25(6), 455-457.
317. Hahn, J. W., McCormick, M. C., Silverman, J. G., Robinson, E. B. & Koenen, K. C. (2014). Examining the impact of disability status on intimate partner violence victimization in a population sample. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 29(17), 3063-3085.
318. Johannesen, M. & LoGiudice, D. (2013). Elder abuse: a systematic review of risk factors in community-dwelling elders. *Age and Ageing*, 42(3), 292-298.
319. Mitra, M. & Mouradian, V. E. (2014). Intimate partner violence in the relationships of men with disabilities in the United States: relative prevalence and health correlates. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 29(17), 3150-3166.
320. Smith, D. L. (2008). Disability, gender and intimate partner violence: relationships from the behavioral risk factor surveillance system. *Sexuality and Disability*, 26(1), 15-28.
321. Tonmyr, L., Jamieson, E., Mery, L. S. & MacMillan, H. L. (2005). The relation between childhood adverse experiences and disability due to mental health problems in a community sample of women. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 50(12), 778-783.
322. Tonmyr, L., Jamieson, E., Mery, L. S. & MacMillan, H. L. (2005). The relationship between childhood adverse experiences and disability due to physical health problems in a community sample of women. *Women & Health*, 41(4), 23-35.
323. Lachs, M. S. & Pillemer, K. A. (2015). Elder abuse. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 373(20), 1947-1956.
324. Edwards, P. (2012). Elder abuse in Canada – a gender-based analysis. (Public Health Agency of Canada).
325. Wang, X. M., Brisbin, S., Loo, T. & Straus, S. (2015). Elder abuse: an approach to identification, assessment and intervention. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 187(8), 575-581.
326. Caetano, R., Ramisetty-Mikler, S. & Field, C. A. (2005). Unidirectional and bidirectional intimate partner violence among White, Black, and Hispanic couples in the United States. *Violence and Victims*, 20(4), 393-406.

327. Choenni, V., Hammink, A. & van de Mheen, D. (2015). Association between substance use and the perpetration of family violence in industrialized countries: a systematic review. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 1-14.
328. Dixon, L. & Graham-Kevan, N. (2011). Understanding the nature and etiology of intimate partner violence and implications for practice and policy. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 31(7), 1145-1155.
329. Feder, L. & Henning, K. (2005). A comparison of male and female dually arrested domestic violence offenders. *Violence and Victims*, 20(2), 153-171.
330. Henning, K., Jones, A. & Holdford, R. (2003). Treatment needs of women arrested for domestic violence: a comparison with male offenders. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 18(8), 839-856.
331. Kernsmith, P. (2005). Exerting power or striking back: a gendered comparison of motivations for domestic violence perpetration. *Violence and Victims*, 20(2), 173-185.
332. Simmons, C. A., Lehmann, P., Cobb, N. & Fowler, C. R. (2005). Personality profiles of women and men arrested for domestic violence. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 41(4), 63-81.
333. Stith, S. M., Smith, D., Penn, C. E., Ward, D. B. & Tritt, D. (2004). Intimate partner physical abuse perpetration and victimization risk factors: a meta-analytic review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 10(1), 65-98.
334. Hovdestad, W., Shields, M., Williams, G. & Tonmyr, L. (2015). Vulnerability within families headed by teen and young adult mothers investigated by child welfare services in Canada. *Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention in Canada: Research, Policy, and Practice*, 35(8-9), 143-150.
335. Thornberry, T. P., Matsuda, M., Greenman, S. J., Augustyn, M. B., Henry, K. L., Smith, C. A. & Ireland, T. O. (2014). Adolescent risk factors for child maltreatment. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 38(4), 706-722.
336. Milaniak, I. & Widom, C. S. (2015). Does child abuse and neglect increase risk for perpetration of violence inside and outside the home? *Psychology of Violence*, 5(3), 246-255.
337. Hay, D. (2007). The gradual emergence of sex differences in aggression: alternative hypotheses. *Psychological Medicine*, 37, 1527-1537.
338. Bybee, D. & Sullivan, C. M. (2005). Predicting re-victimization of battered women 3 years after exiting a shelter program. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 36(1-2), 85-96.
339. Cole, J., Logan, T. K. & Shannon, L. (2008). Women's risk for revictimization by a new abusive partner: for what should we be looking? *Violence and Victims*, 23(3), 315-330.
340. Mair, C., Cunradi, C. B., Gruenewald, P. J., Todd, M. & Remer, L. (2013). Drinking context-specific associations between intimate partner violence and frequency and volume of alcohol consumption. *Addiction*, 108(12), 2102-2111.
341. Swan, S. C., Gambone, L. J., Caldwell, J. E., Sullivan, T. P. & Snow, D. L. (2008). A review of research on women's use of violence With male intimate partners. *Violence and Victims*, 23(3), 301-314.
342. Johnson, H. (2015). Degendering violence. *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society*, 22(3), 390-410.
343. Archer, J. (2000). Sex differences in aggression between heterosexual partners: a meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126(5), 651-680.
344. Whitaker, D. J., Haileyesus, T., Swahn, M. & Saltzman, L. S. (2007). Differences in frequency of violence and reported injury between relationships with reciprocal and nonreciprocal intimate partner violence. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97(5), 941-947.
345. Langhinrichsen-Rohling, J. (2010). Controversies involving gender and intimate partner violence in the United States. *Sex Roles*, 62(3), 179-193.
346. Forsman, M. & Långström, N. (2012). Child maltreatment and adult violent offending: population-based twin study addressing the 'cycle of violence' hypothesis. *Psychological Medicine*, 42(9), 1977-1983.
347. Thornberry, T. P. & Henry, K. L. (2013). Intergenerational continuity in maltreatment. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 41(4), 555-569.
348. Widom, C. S., Czaja, S. J. & DuMont, K. A. (2015). Intergenerational transmission of child abuse and neglect: real or detection bias? *Science*, 347(6229), 1480-1485.
349. Jaffee, S. R., Bowes, L., Ouellet-Morin, I., Fisher, H. L., Moffitt, T. E., Merrick, M. T. & Arseneault, L. (2013). Safe, stable, nurturing relationships break the intergenerational cycle of abuse: a prospective nationally representative cohort of children in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 53(Suppl 4), S4-S10.

350. Thornberry, T. P., Henry, K. L., Smith, C. A., Ireland, T. O., Greenman, S. J. & Lee, R. D. (2013). Breaking the cycle of maltreatment: the role of safe, stable, and nurturing relationships. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 53*(Suppl 4), S25-S31.
351. Pillemer, K., Burnes, D., Riffin, C. & Lachs, M. S. (2016). Elder abuse: global situation, risk factors, and prevention strategies. *The Gerontologist, 56*(Suppl 2), S194-S205.
352. Guedes, D. T., Alvarado, B. E., Phillips, S. P., Curcio, C. L., Zunzunegui, M. V. & Guerra, R. O. (2015). Socioeconomic status, social relations and Domestic Violence (DV) against elderly people in Canada, Albania, Colombia and Brazil. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics, 60*(3), 492-500.
353. Klein, S. (2011). The availability of neighborhood early care and education resources and the maltreatment of young children. *Child Maltreatment, 16*(4), 300-311.
354. Heise, L. L. & Kotsadam, A. (2015). Cross-national and multilevel correlates of partner violence: an analysis of data from population-based surveys. *The Lancet Global Health, 3*(6), e332-e340.
355. Hornor, G. (2002). Child sexual abuse: psychosocial risk factors. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care, 16*(4), 187-192.
356. Wolfe, D. A. & Mclsaac, C. (2011). Distinguishing between poor/dysfunctional parenting and child emotional maltreatment. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 35*(10), 802-813.
357. Harden, B. J. (2004). Safety and stability for foster children: a developmental perspective. *The Future of Children, 14*(1), 30-47.
358. Ryan, K. M. (2013). Issues of reliability in measuring intimate partner violence during courtship. *Sex Roles, 69*(3), 131-148.
359. Neal, A. M. & Edwards, K. M. (2015). Perpetrators' and victims' attributions for IPV: a critical review of the literature. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse, 1*-29.
360. McDonald, L. (2011). Elder abuse and neglect in Canada: the glass is still half full. *Canadian Journal on Aging, 30*(3), 437-465.
361. Nadan, Y., Spilsbury, J. C. & Korbin, J. E. (2015). Culture and context in understanding child maltreatment: contributions of intersectionality and neighborhood-based research. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 41*, 40-48.
362. Ferrari, A. M. (2002). The impact of culture upon child rearing practices and definitions of maltreatment. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 26*(8), 793-813.
363. Harvey, A., Garcia-Moreno, C. and Butchart, A. (2006). Primary prevention of intimate-partner violence and sexual violence: background paper for WHO expert meeting May 2-3, 2007. (World Health Organization).
364. Caetano, R., Ramisetty-Mikler, S., Caetano Vaeth, P. A. & Harris, T. R. (2007). Acculturation stress, drinking, and intimate partner violence among Hispanic couples in the U.S. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 22*(11), 1431-1447.
365. Kimber, M., Henriksen, C. A., Davidov, D. M., Goldstein, A. L., Pitre, N. Y., Tonmyr, L. & Afifi, T. O. (2015). The association between immigrant generational status, child maltreatment history and intimate partner violence (IPV): evidence from a nationally representative survey. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 50*(7), 1135-1144.
366. United Nations Children's Fund. (2014). Hidden in plain sight - a statistical analysis of violence against children. (UNICEF).
367. Dong, X. Q. (2015). Elder abuse: systematic review and implications for practice. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 63*(6), 1214-1238.
368. Sebre, S., Sprugevica, I., Novotni, A., Bonevski, D., Pakalniskiene, V., Popescu, D., Turchina, T., Friedrich, W. & Lewis, O. (2004). Cross-cultural comparisons of child-reported emotional and physical abuse: rates, risk factors and psychosocial symptoms. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 28*(1), 113-127.
369. Stoltenborgh, M., van Ijzendoorn, M. H., Euser, E. M. & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (2011). A global perspective on child sexual abuse: meta-analysis of prevalence around the world. *Child Maltreatment, 16*(2), 79-101.
370. Cauffman, E., Feldman, S. S., Jensen, L. A. & Arnett, J. J. (2000). The (un)acceptability of violence against peers and dates. *Journal of Adolescent Research, 15*(6), 652-673.
371. Pornari, C. D., Dixon, L. & Humphreys, G. W. (2013). Systematically identifying implicit theories in male and female intimate partner violence perpetrators. *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 18*(5), 496-505.
372. Dunlap, E., Golub, A. W., Johnson, B. D. & Benoit, E. (2009). Normalization of violence: experiences of childhood abuse by inner-city crack users. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse, 8*(1), 15-34.
373. Wood, J. T. (2001). The normalization of violence in heterosexual romantic relationships: women's narratives of love and violence. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 18*(2), 239-261.

374. Freisthler, B., Needell, B. & Gruenewald, P. J. (2005). Is the physical availability of alcohol and illicit drugs related to neighborhood rates of child maltreatment? *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 29(9), 1049-1060.
375. Freisthler, B., Holmes, M. R. & Price Wolf, J. (2014). The dark side of social support: understanding the role of social support, drinking behaviors and alcohol outlets for child physical abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 38(6), 1106-1119.
376. Beyer, K., Wallis, A. B. & Hamberger, L. K. (2015). Neighborhood environment and intimate partner violence: a systematic review. *Trauma, Violence & Abuse*, 16(1), 16-47.
377. Coulton, C. J., Crampton, D. S., Irwin, M., Spilsbury, J. C. & Korbin, J. E. (2007). How neighborhoods influence child maltreatment: a review of the literature and alternative pathways. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 31(11-12), 1117-1142.
378. Freisthler, B., Merritt, D. H. & LaScala, E. A. (2006). Understanding the ecology of child maltreatment: a review of the literature and directions for future research. *Child Maltreatment*, 11(3), 263-280.
379. Gracia, E. & Musitu, G. (2003). Social isolation from communities and child maltreatment: a cross-cultural comparison. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 27(2), 153-168.
- ### Life course perspective
380. Elder, G. (2001). Life course: sociological aspects. *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 13.
381. Settersten, R. A. (2003). Age structuring and the rhythm of the life course. In *Handbook of the Life Course*, (pp. 81-98). [Mortimer, J. T. & Shanahan, M. J. (Eds.)]. (Boston, MA: Springer US).
382. Logan-Green, P., Nurius, P. S., Hooven, C. & Thompson, E. A. (2015). Life course associations between victimization and aggression: distinct and cumulative contributions. *Child & Adolescent Social Work Journal*, 32(3), 269-279.
383. Nurius, P. S., Green, S., Logan-Greene, P. & Borja, S. (2015). Life course pathways of adverse childhood experiences toward adult psychological well-being: a stress process analysis. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 45, 143-153.
384. Ouellet-Morin, I., Fisher, H. L., York-Smith, M., Fincham-Campbell, S., Moffitt, T. E. & Arseneault, L. (2015). Intimate partner violence and new-onset depression: a longitudinal study of women's childhood and adult histories of abuse. *Depression and anxiety*, 32(5), 316-324.
385. Friedman, E. & Billick, S.B. (2015). Unintentional child neglect: literature review and observational study. *The Psychiatric Quarterly*, 86(92), 253-259.
386. Schnitzer, P.G. & Ewigman, B.G. (2008). Household composition and fatal unintentional injuries related to child maltreatment. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 40(1), 91-97.
387. Turner, H.A., Finkelhor, D., Ormrod, R. (2007). Family structure variations in patterns and predictors of child victimization. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 77(2), 282-295.
388. Afifi, T.O., Boman, J., Fleisher, W., & Sareen, J. (2009). The relationships between child abuse, parental divorce, and lifetime mental disorders and suicidality in a nationally representative adult sample. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 33(3), 139-147.
389. Oliver, W.J., Kuhns, L.R., Pomeranz, E.S. (2006). Family structure and child abuse. *Clinical Pediatrics*, 45(2), 111-118.
390. Jaffe, P., Scott, K., Jenney, A., Dawson, M., Straatman, A.L., & Campbell, M. (2014). Risk factors for children in situations of family violence in the context of separation and divorce. (Justice Canada).
391. Khan, R. & Rogers, P. (2015). The normalization of sibling violence: does gender and personal experience of violence influence perceptions of physical assault against siblings? *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 30(3), 437-458.
392. Recchia, H., Wainryb, C. & Pasupathi, M. (2013). "Two for flinching": children's and adolescents' narrative accounts of harming their friends and siblings. *Child Development*, 84(4), 1459-1474.
393. Tucker, C. J., Finkelhor, D., Turner, H. & Shattuck, A. M. (2013). Association of sibling aggression with child and adolescent mental health. *Pediatrics*, 132(1), 79-84.
394. Wolke, D. & Skew, A. J. (2012). Bullying among siblings. *International Journal of Adolescent Medicine and Health*, 24, 17-25.
395. Wolke, D., Tippet, N. & Dantchev, S. (2015). Bullying in the family: sibling bullying. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 2(10), 917-929.
396. Greenwood, M., de Leeuw, S. & Fraser, T. N. (2007). Aboriginal children and early childhood development and education in Canada: linking the past and the present to the future. *Canadian Journal of Native Education*, 30(1), 5-190.

397. Greenwood, M. L. & de Leeuw, S. N. (2012). Social determinants of health and the future well-being of Aboriginal children in Canada. *Paediatrics & Child Health*, 17(7), 381-384.
398. Kennair, N. & Mellor, D. (2007). Parent abuse: a review. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 38(3), 203-219.
399. Ibabe, I. & Bentler, P. M. (2016). The contribution of family relationships to child-to-parent violence. *Journal of Family Violence*, 31(2), 259-269.
400. Ibabe, I., Jaureguizar, J. & Bentler, P. M. (2013). Risk factors for child-to-parent violence. *Journal of Family Violence*, 28(5), 523-534.
401. Craig, W. & Pepler, D. (2014). Trends in healthy development and healthy relationships - trend analysis of Canadian data from the Health Behaviour in School Aged Children (HBSC) survey from 2002, 2006, and 2010. (Public Health Agency of Canada).
402. Giordano, P. C., Manning, W. D., Longmore, M. A. & Flanigan, C. M. (2012). Developmental shifts in the character of romantic and sexual relationships from adolescence to young adulthood. In *Early Adulthood in a Family Context*, (pp. 133-164). [Booth, A., Brown, L. S., Landale, S. N., Manning, D. W. & McHale, M. S. (Eds.)]. (New York, NY: Springer New York).
403. Public Health Agency of Canada. (2006). *Violence in Dating Relationships*. (Public Health Agency of Canada).
404. Ellis, W. E. & Wolfe, D. A. (2015). Bullying predicts reported dating violence and observed qualities in adolescent dating relationships. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 30(17), 3043-3064.
405. Foshee, V. A., Benefield, T. S., McNaughton Reyes, H. L., Eastman, M., Vivolo-Kantor, A. M., Basile, K. C., Ennett, S. T. & Faris, R. (2016). Examining explanations for the link between bullying perpetration and physical dating violence perpetration: do they vary by bullying victimization? *Aggressive Behavior*, 42(1), 66-81.
406. Foshee, V. A., McNaughton Reyes, H. L., Vivolo-Kantor, A. M., Basile, K. C., Chang, L. Y., Faris, R. & Ennett, S. T. (2014). Bullying as a longitudinal predictor of adolescent dating violence. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 55(3), 439-444.
407. Daoud, N., Urquia, M. L., O'Campo, P., Heaman, M., Janssen, P. A., Smylie, J. & Thiessen, K. (2012). Prevalence of abuse and violence before, during, and after pregnancy in a national sample of Canadian women. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(10), 1893-1901.
408. Kingston, D., Heaman, M., Urquia, M., O'Campo, P., Janssen, P., Thiessen, K. & Smylie, J. (2016). Correlates of abuse around the time of pregnancy: results from a national survey of Canadian women. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 20(4), 778-789.
409. Alhusen, J. L., Ray, E., Sharps, P. & Bullock, L. (2015). Intimate partner violence during pregnancy: maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Journal of Women's Health*, 24(1), 100-106.
410. Donovan, B. M., Spracklen, C. N., Schweizer, M. L., Ryckman, K. K. & Saftlas, A. F. (2016). Intimate partner violence during pregnancy and the risk for adverse infant outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG*, 123(8), 1289-1299.
411. Hill, A., Pallitto, C., McCleary-Sills, J. & Garcia-Moreno, C. (2016). A systematic review and meta-analysis of intimate partner violence during pregnancy and selected birth outcomes. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 133(3), 269-276.
412. Urquia, M. L., O'Campo, P. J., Heaman, M. I., Janssen, P. A. & Thiessen, K. R. (2011). Experiences of violence before and during pregnancy and adverse pregnancy outcomes: An analysis of the Canadian Maternity Experiences Survey. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 11(1), 1-9.
413. Myhill, A. (2015). Measuring coercive control: what can we learn from national population surveys? *Violence Against Women*, 21(3), 355-375.
414. Capaldi, D. M. & Kim, H. K. (2007). Typological approaches to violence in couples: a critique and alternative conceptual approach. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27(3), 253-265.
415. Johnson, M. P. (1995). Patriarchal terrorism and common couple violence: two forms of violence against women. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 57(2), 283-294.
416. Straus, M. A. (2015). Dyadic concordance and discordance in family violence: a powerful and practical approach to research and practice. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 24, 83-94.
417. Feder, G. & MacMillan, H. L. (2015). Intimate partner violence. In *Goldman-Cecil Medicine*, 25th Edition, (pp. 1629-1633) [Goldman L. & Schafer, A.I.(EDS.)]. (New York: Elsevier Saunders).
418. Temple, J. R., Weston, R. & Marshall, L. L. (2005). Physical and mental health outcomes of women in nonviolent, unilaterally violent, and mutually violent relationships. *Violence and Victims*, 20(3), 335-359.

419. Ulloa, E. C. & Hammett, J. F. (2016). The effect of gender and perpetrator-victim role on mental health outcomes and risk behaviors associated with intimate partner violence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 31(7), 1184-1207.
420. Bohnert, N., Chagnon, J. & Dion, P. (2015). Population projections for Canada (2013 to 2063), provinces and territories (2013 to 2038). (Statistics Canada).
421. Sinha, M. (2013). Spotlight on Canadians - results from the General Social Survey. (Statistics Canada).
430. Zeoli, A. M. & Webster, D. W. (2010). Effects of domestic violence policies, alcohol taxes and police staffing levels on intimate partner homicide in large U.S. Cities. *Injury Prevention*, 16(2), 90-95.
431. Ellsberg, M., Arango, D. J., Morton, M., Gennari, F., Kiplesund, S., Contreras, M. & Watts, C. (2018). Prevention of violence against women and girls: what does the evidence say? *The Lancet*, 385(9977), 1555-1566.
432. Department of Justice. (2016). The criminal law and managing children's behaviour. (Justice Canada).

Preventing family violence

422. Ayalon, L., Lev, S., Green, O. & Nevo, U. (2016). A systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions designed to prevent or stop elder maltreatment. *Age and Ageing*, 45(2), 216-227.
423. MacMillan, H. L. & Wathen, C. N. (2014). Research brief: interventions to prevent child maltreatment March 2014. (PreVAiL: Preventing Violence Across the Lifespan Research Network).
424. Wathen, C. N. & Macmillan, H. (2014). Research brief: identifying and responding to intimate partner violence against women. (PreVAiL: Preventing Violence Across the Lifespan Research Network).
425. Dugan, L., Nagin, D. S. & Rosenfeld, R. (2003). Exposure reduction or retaliation? The effects of domestic violence resources on intimate-partner homicide. *Law & Society Review*, 37(1), 169-198.
426. Iyengar, R. (2007). Does the certainty of arrest reduce domestic violence? Evidence from mandatory and recommended arrest laws. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series, 13186.
427. Maxwell, C. D., Garner, J. H. & Fagan, J. A. (2002). The preventive effects of arrest on intimate partner violence: research, policy, and theory. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 2(1), 51-80.
428. McPhedran, S. & Mauser, G. (2013). Lethal firearm-related violence against Canadian women: did tightening gun laws have an impact on women's health and safety? *Violence and Victims*, 28(5), 875-883.
429. Vigdor, E. R. & Mercy, J. A. (2006). Do laws restricting access to firearms by domestic violence offenders prevent intimate partner homicide? *Evaluation Review*, 30(3), 313-346.
433. Public Health Agency of Canada and Department of Justice. (2015). What's wrong with spanking? (Public Health Agency of Canada).
434. Department of Justice. (2015). Child abuse is wrong: what can I do? (Justice Canada).
435. Department of Justice. (2015). Family violence laws. (Justice Canada).
436. Mathews, B. & Kenny, M. C. (2008). Mandatory reporting legislation in the United States, Canada, and Australia: a cross-jurisdictional review of key features, differences, and issues. *Child Maltreatment*, 13(1), 50-63.
437. Mathews, B., Lee, X. J. & Norman, R. E. (2016). Impact of a new mandatory reporting law on reporting and identification of child sexual abuse: a seven year time trend analysis. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 56, 62-79.
438. Gilbert, R., Kemp, A., Thoburn, J., Sidebotham, P., Radford, L., Glaser, D. & MacMillan, H. L. (2009). Recognising and responding to child maltreatment. *The Lancet*, 373(9658), 167-80.
439. Wells, L., Claussen, C. & Sandham, S. (2012). Surveying the landscape: domestic violence plans from around the world. (Shift: The Project to End Domestic Violence).
440. Poole, M. K., Seal, D. W. & Taylor, C. A. (2014). A systematic review of universal campaigns targeting child physical abuse prevention. *Health Education Research*, 29(3), 388-432.
441. Peterson, K., Sharps, P., Banyard, V., Powers, R. A., Kaukinen, C., Gross, D., Decker, M. R., Baatz, C. & Campbell, J. (2016). An evaluation of two dating violence prevention programs on a college campus. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.

442. Borsky, A. E., McDonnell, K., Turner, M. M. & Rimal, R. (2016). Raising a red flag on dating violence: evaluation of a low-resource, college-based bystander behavior intervention program. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
443. Coker, A. L., Bush, H. M., Fisher, B. S., Swan, S. C., Williams, C. M., Clear, E. R. & DeGue, S. (2016). Multi-college bystander intervention evaluation for violence prevention. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 50(3), 295-302.
444. Storer, H. L., Casey, E. & Herrenkohl, T. (2016). Efficacy of bystander programs to prevent dating abuse among youth and young adults: a review of the literature. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 17(3), 256-269.
445. Wells, L., Koziey, L. & Ferguson, J. (2012). Engaging the news media to influence attitudes, norms and behaviours and reduce the rates of domestic violence. (Shift: The Project to End Domestic Violence).
446. Gillespie, L. K., Richards, T. N., Givens, E. M. & Smith, M. D. (2013). Framing deadly domestic violence: why the media's spin matters in newspaper coverage of femicide. *Violence Against Women*, 19(2), 222-245.
447. Bullock, C. F. & Cubert, J. (2002). Coverage of domestic violence fatalities by newspapers in Washington State. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 17(5), 475-499.
448. Carlyle, K. E., Slater, M. D. & Chakroff, J. L. (2008). Newspaper coverage of intimate partner violence: skewing representations of risk. *Journal of Communication*, 58(1), 168-186.
449. Carlyle, K. E., Scarduzio, J. A. & Slater, M. D. (2014). Media portrayals of female perpetrators of intimate partner violence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 29(13), 2394-2417.
450. Lloyd, M. & Ramon, S. (2016). Smoke and mirrors: U.K. newspaper representations of intimate partner domestic violence. *Violence Against Women*.
451. Richards, T. N., Gillespie, L. K. & Smith, M. D. (2011). Exploring news coverage of femicide: does reporting the news add insult to injury? *Feminist Criminology*, 6(3), 178-202.
452. Roberto, K. A., McCann, B. R. & Brossoie, N. (2013). Intimate partner violence in late life: an analysis of national news reports. *Journal of Elder Abuse & Neglect*, 25(3), 230-241.
453. Wozniak, J. A. & McCloskey, K. A. (2010). Fact or fiction? Gender issues related to newspaper reports of intimate partner homicide. *Violence Against Women*, 16(8), 934-952.
454. Weldon, S. L. & Htun, M. (2013). Feminist mobilisation and progressive policy change: why governments take action to combat violence against women. *Gender & Development*, 21(2), 231-247.
455. Walsh, K., Zwi, K., Woolfenden, S. & Shlonsky, A. (2015). School-based education programmes for the prevention of child sexual abuse. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*,(4).
456. Vladutiu, C. J., Martin, S. L. & Macy, R. J. (2011). College- or university-based sexual assault prevention programs: a review of program outcomes, characteristics, and recommendations. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 12(2), 67-86.
457. Banyard, V. L. (2014). Improving college campus based prevention of violence against women: a strategic plan for research built on multipronged practices and policies. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 15(4), 339-351.
458. Langhinrichsen-Rohling, J. & Capaldi, D. M. (2012). Clearly we've only just begun: developing effective prevention programs for intimate partner violence. *Prevention Science*, 13(4), 410-414.
459. Vanderende, K. E., Yount, K. M., Dynes, M. M. & Sibley, LM. (2012). Community-level correlates of intimate partner violence against women globally: a systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 75(7), 1143-1155.
460. Aiyer, S. M., Zimmerman, M. A., Morrel-Samuels, S. & Reischl, T. M. (2015). From broken windows to busy streets: a community empowerment perspective. *Health Education & Behavior*, 42(2), 137-147.
461. Ungar, M. (2011). Community resilience for youth and families: facilitative physical and social capital in contexts of adversity. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 33(9), 1742-1748.
462. Culross, P., Cohen, L., Wolfe, A. & Ruby, J. (2006). *Creating safe environments: violence prevention strategies and programs*. (Oakland, California: Prevention Institute).
463. Feinberg, M. E., Solmeyer, A. R., Hostetler, M. L., Sakuma, K. L., Jones, D. & McHale, S. M. (2013). Siblings Are Special: Initial test of a new approach for preventing youth behavior problems. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 53(2), 166-173.
464. Altafim, E. R. P. & Linhares, M. B. M. (2016). Universal violence and child maltreatment prevention programs for parents: a systematic review. *Psychosocial Intervention*, 25(1), 27-38.

465. Klevens, J. & Whitaker, D. J. (2007). Primary prevention of child physical abuse and neglect: gaps and promising directions. *Child Maltreatment*, 12(4), 364-377.
466. Reynolds, A. J., Mathieson, L. C. & Topitzes, J. W. (2009). Do early childhood interventions prevent child maltreatment? A review of research. *Child Maltreatment*.
467. Olds, D. L. (2002). Prenatal and infancy home visiting by nurses: from randomized trials to community replication. *Prevention Science*, 3(3), 153-172.
468. Robling, M., Bekkers, M. J., Bell, K., Butler, C. C., Cannings-John, R., Channon, S., Martin, B. C., Gregory, J. W., Hood, K., Kemp, A., Kenkre, J., Montgomery, A. A., Moody, G., Owen-Jones, E., Pickett, K., Richardson, G., Roberts, Z. E. S., Ronaldson, S., Sanders, J., Stamuli, E. & Torgerson, D. (2009). Effectiveness of a nurse-led intensive home-visitation programme for first-time teenage mothers (Building Blocks): a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, 387(10014), 146-155.
469. Mejdoubi, J., van den Heijkant, S. C. C. M., van Leerdam, F. J. M., Heymans, M. W., Crijnen, A. & Hirasig, R. A. (2015). The effect of VoorZorg, the Dutch Nurse-Family Partnership, on child maltreatment and development: a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One*, 10(4), 1-14.
470. Jack, S. M., Catherine, N., Gonzalez, A., MacMillan, H. L., Sheehan, D. & Waddell, D. (2015). Adapting, piloting and evaluating complex public health interventions: lessons learned from the Nurse-Family Partnership in Canadian public health settings. *Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention in Canada: Research, Policy, and Practice*, 35(8-9), 151-159.
471. Sanders, M. R. (2008). Triple P-Positive Parenting Program as a public health approach to strengthening parenting. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 22(4), 506-517.
472. Sanders, M. R., Ralph, A., Sofronoff, K., Gardiner, P., Thompson, R., Dwyer, S. & Bidwell, K. (2008). Every family: a population approach to reducing behavioral and emotional problems in children making the transition to school. *The Journal of Primary Prevention*, 29(3), 197-222.
473. Prinz, R. J., Sanders, M. R., Shapiro, C. J., Whitaker, D. J. & Lutzker, J. R. (2009). Population-based prevention of child maltreatment: the U.S. Triple P System population trial. *Prevention Science*, 10(1), 1-12.
474. Prinz, R. J., Sanders, M. R., Shapiro, C. J., Whitaker, D. J. & Lutzker, J. R. (2016). Addendum to population-based prevention of child maltreatment: the U.S. Triple P system population trial. *Prevention Science*, 17(3), 410-416.
475. Zemp, M., Milek, A., Davies, P. T. & Bodenmann, G. (2016). Improved child problem behavior enhances the parents' relationship quality: a randomized trial. *Journal of Family Psychology*.
476. Bodenmann, G., Cina, A., Ledermann, T. & Sanders, M. R. (2008). The efficacy of the Triple P-Positive Parenting Program in improving parenting and child behavior: a comparison with two other treatment conditions. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 46(4), 411-427.
477. Leung, C., Sanders, M. R., Leung, S., Mak, R. & Lau, J. (2003). An outcome evaluation of the implementation of the Triple P-Positive Parenting Program in Hong Kong. *Family Process*, 42(4), 531-544.
478. Matsumoto, Y., Sofronoff, K. & Sanders, M. R. (2010). Investigation of the effectiveness and social validity of the Triple P Positive Parenting Program in Japanese society. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 24(1), 87-91.
479. MacMillan, H. L., Wathen, C. N., Barlow, J., Ferguson, D. M., Leventhal, J. M. & Taussig, H. N. (2009). Interventions to prevent child maltreatment and associated impairment. *The Lancet*, 373(9659), 250-266.
480. Lundgren, R. & Amin, A. (2015). Addressing intimate partner violence and sexual violence among adolescents: emerging evidence of effectiveness. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 56(Suppl 1), S42-S50.
481. Fellmeth, G. L. T., Heffernan, C., Nurse, J., Habibula, S. & Sethi, D. (2013). Educational and skills-based interventions for preventing relationship and dating violence in adolescents and young adults. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 14.
482. De Koker, P., Mathews, C., Zuch, M., Bastien, S. & Mason-Jones, A. J. (2014). A systematic review of interventions for preventing adolescent intimate partner violence. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 54(1), 3-13.
483. Leen, E., Sorbring, E., Mawer, M., Holdsworth, E., Helsing, B. & Bowen, E. (2013). Prevalence, dynamic risk factors and the efficacy of primary interventions for adolescent dating violence: An international review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 18(1), 159-174.

484. De La Rue, L., Polanin, J. R., Espelage, D. L. & Pigott, T. D. (2016). A meta-analysis of school-based interventions aimed to prevent or reduce violence in teen dating relationships. *Review of Educational Research*.
485. Foshee, V. A., Bauman, K. E., Greene, W. F., Koch, G. G., Linder, G. F. & MacDougall, J. E. (2000). The Safe Dates program: 1-year follow-up results. *American Journal of Public Health*, 90(10), 1619-1622.
486. Foshee, V. A., Bauman, K. E., Ennett, S. T., Linder, G. F., Benefield, T. & Suchindran, C. (2004). Assessing the long-term effects of the Safe Dates Program and a booster in preventing and reducing adolescent dating violence victimization and perpetration. *American Journal of Public Health*, 94(4), 619-624.
487. Foshee, V. A., Reyes, L. M., Agnew-Brune, C. B., Simon, T. R., Vagi, K. J., Lee, R. D. & Suchindran, C. (2014). The effects of the evidence-based Safe Dates dating abuse prevention program on other youth violence outcomes. *Prevention Science*, 15(6), 907-916.
488. Crooks, C., Wolfe, D. A., Hughes, R., Jaffe, P. G. & Chiodo, D. (2008). Development, evaluation and national implementation of a school-based program to reduce violence and related risk behaviours: lessons from the Fourth R. *IPC Review*, 2, 109-135.
489. Wolfe, D. A., Wekerle, C., Scott, K., Straatman, A. L., Grasley, C. & Reitzel-Jaffe, D. (2003). Dating violence prevention with at-risk youth: a controlled outcome evaluation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 71(2), 279-291.
490. Bradford, A. B., Hawkins, A. J. & Acker, J. (2015). If we build it, they will come: exploring policy and practice implications of public support for couple and relationship education for lower income and relationally distressed couples. *Family Process*, 54(4), 639-654.
491. Dunford, F. W. (2000). The San Diego Navy experiment: an assessment of interventions for men who assault their wives. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68(3), 468-476.
492. Axelsen, S. F., Brixval, C. S., Due, P. & Koushede, V. (2014). Integrating couple relationship education in antenatal education: a study of perceived relevance among expectant Danish parents. *Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare*, 5(4), 174-175.
493. Halford, W. K. & Bodenmann, G. (2013). Effects of relationship education on maintenance of couple relationship satisfaction. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 33(4), 512-525.
494. Halford, W. K., Petch, J. & Creedy, D. K. (2010). Promoting a positive transition to parenthood: a randomized clinical trial of couple relationship education. *Prevention Science*, 11(1), 89-100.
495. Halford, W., Pepping, C. A., Hilpert, P., Bodenmann, G., Wilson, K. L., Busby, D., Larson, J. & Holman, T. (2015). Immediate effect of couple relationship education on low-satisfaction couples: a randomized clinical trial plus an uncontrolled trial replication. *Behavior Therapy*, 46(3), 409-421.
496. Rhoades, G. K. (2015). The effectiveness of the within our reach relationship education program for couples: findings from a federal randomized trial. *Family Process*, 54(4), 672-685.
497. O'Leary, K. D. & Slep, A. M. S. (2012). Prevention of partner violence by focusing on behaviors of both young males and females. *Prevention Science*, 13(4), 329-339.
498. Dong, X. Q., Chen, R. & Simon, M. A. (2014). Elder abuse and dementia: a review of the research and health policy. *Health Affairs*, 33(4), 642-649.
499. Shea, B., Nahwegahbow, A. & Andersson, N. (2010). Reduction of family violence in Aboriginal communities: a systematic review of interventions and approaches. *Pimatisiwin*, 8(2), 35-60.
500. Barr, R. G., Rivara, F. P., Barr, M., Cummings, P., Taylor, J., Lengua, L. J. & Meredith-Benitz, E. (2009). Effectiveness of educational materials designed to change knowledge and behaviors regarding crying and shaken-baby syndrome in mothers of newborns: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics*, 123(3), 972.
501. Barr, R. G., Barr, M., Fujiwara, T., Conway, J., Catherine, N. & Brant, R. (2009). Do educational materials change knowledge and behaviour about crying and shaken baby syndrome? A randomized controlled trial. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 180(7), 727-733.
502. Fujiwara, T., Yamada, F., Okuyama, M., Kamimaki, I., Shikoro, N. & Barr, R. G. (2012). Effectiveness of educational materials designed to change knowledge and behavior about crying and shaken baby syndrome: a replication of a randomized controlled trial in Japan. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 36(9), 613-620.
503. Zolotor, A. J., Runyan, D. K. & Shanahan, M. (2015). Effectiveness of a statewide abusive head trauma prevention program in North Carolina. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 169(12), 1126-1131.
504. Cooper, M. & Wells, L. (2014). Preventing child maltreatment: a critical strategy for stopping intimate partner violence in the next generation. (Shift: The Project to End Domestic Violence).

505. Espelage, D. L., Low, S., Polanin, J. R. & Brown, E. C. (2013). The impact of a middle school program to reduce aggression, victimization, and sexual violence. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 53*(2), 180-186.
506. Saarento, S., Boulton, A. J. & Salmivalli, C. (2015). Reducing bullying and victimization: student- and classroom-level mechanisms of change. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 43*(1), 61-76.
507. Trip, S., Bora, C., Sipos-Gug, S., Tocai, I., Gradinger, P., Yanagida, T. & Strohmeier, D. (2015). Bullying prevention in schools by targeting cognitions, emotions, and behavior: evaluating the effectiveness of the REBE-ViSC program. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 62*(4), 732-740.
508. Adelman, R. D., Tmanova, L. L., Delgado, D., Dion, S. & Lachs, M. S. (2014). Caregiver burden: a clinical review. *JAMA, 311*(10), 1052-1060.
509. Lindo, E. J., Kliemann, K. R., Combes, B. H. & Frank, J. (2016). Managing stress levels of parents of children with developmental disabilities: a meta-analytic review of interventions. *Family Relations, 65*(1), 207-224.
510. Hu, C., Kung, S., Rummans, T. A., Clark, M. M. & Lapid, M. I. (2015). Reducing caregiver stress with Internet-based interventions: a systematic review of open-label and randomized controlled trials. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 22*(e1), e194-e209.
511. Duggan, A. K., Berlin, L. J., Cassidy, J., Burrell, L. & Tandon, S. D. (2009). Examining maternal depression and attachment insecurity as moderators of the impacts of home visiting for at-risk mothers and infants. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 77*(4), 788-799.
512. Easterbrooks, M. A., Bartlett, J. D., Raskin, M., Goldberg, J., Contreras, M. M., Kotake, C., Chaudhuri, J. H. & Jacobs, F. H. (2013). Limiting home visiting effects: maternal depression as a moderator of child maltreatment. *Pediatrics, 132*(Suppl 2), S126-S133.
513. McFarlane, E., Burrell, L., Crowne, S., Cluxton-Keller, F., Fuddy, L., Leaf, P. J. & Duggan, A. (2013). Maternal relationship security as a moderator of home visiting impacts on maternal psychosocial functioning. *Prevention Science, 14*(1), 25-39.
514. Kearns, M. C., Reidy, D. E. & Valle, L. A. (2015). The role of alcohol policies in preventing intimate partner violence: a review of the literature. *Journal of studies on alcohol and drugs, 76*(1), 21-30.
515. Wilson, I. M., Graham, K. & Taft, A. (2014). Alcohol interventions, alcohol policy and intimate partner violence: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health, 14*, 881.
516. Bourey, C., Williams, W., Bernstein, E. E. & Stephenson, R. (2015). Systematic review of structural interventions for intimate partner violence in low- and middle-income countries: organizing evidence for prevention. *BMC Public Health, 15*(1), 1-18.
517. Dubowitz, H., Feigelman, S., Lane, W. & Kim, J. (2009). Pediatric primary care to help prevent child maltreatment: the Safe Environment for Every Kid (SEEK) Model. *Pediatrics, 123*(3), 858-864.
518. Dubowitz, H., Lane, W. G., Semiatin, J. N. & Magder, L. S. (2012). The SEEK Model of pediatric primary care: can child maltreatment be prevented in a low-risk population? *Academic Pediatrics, 12*(4), 259-268.
519. Dubowitz, H., Lane, W. G., Semiatin, J. N., Magder, L. S., Venepally, M. & Jans, M. (2011). The Safe Environment for Every Kid Model: impact on pediatric primary care professionals. *Pediatrics, 127*(4), e962-e970.